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Abstract. Let $A = (A_1, \ldots, A_m)$ be an $m$-tuple of $n \times n$ Hermitian matrices. For $1 \leq k \leq n$, the $k$th joint numerical range of $A$ is defined by

$$W_k(A) = \{\text{tr}(X^*A_1X), \ldots, \text{tr}(X^*A_mX)) : X \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times k}, X^*X = I_k\}.$$ 

We consider linearly independent families of Hermitian matrices $\{A_1, \ldots, A_m\}$ so that $W_k(A)$ is convex. It is shown that $m$ can reach the upper bound $2k(n-k)+1$. A key idea in our study is relating the convexity of $W_k(A)$ to the problem of constructing rank $k$ orthogonal projections under linear constraints determined by $A$. The techniques are extended to study the convexity of other generalized numerical ranges and the corresponding matrix construction problems.
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1. Introduction. Let $A = (A_1, \ldots, A_m)$ be an $m$-tuple of $n \times n$ Hermitian matrices. For $1 \leq k \leq n$, the $k$th joint numerical range of $A$ is defined as

$$W_k(A) = \{\text{tr}(X^*A_1X), \ldots, \text{tr}(X^*A_mX)) : X \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times k}, X^*X = I_k\}.$$ 

When $k = 1$, it reduces to the usual joint numerical range of $A$ that is useful in the study of various pure and applied subjects (see [2, 3, 4, 5, 7]). In particular, in the study of structured singular values arising in robust stability (see [6, 7, 15]), it is important that $W_1(A)$ is convex. Unfortunately, $W_1(A)$ is not always convex if $m > 3$ (see, e.g., [1]). We modify the example in [1] to show that the same conclusion holds for $W_k(A)$ in the following example.

Example 1.1. Let $A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \oplus 0_{n-2}$, $A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \oplus 0_{n-2}$, $A_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ -i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \oplus 0_{n-2}$, $A_4 = I_2 \oplus 2I_{k-1} \oplus 0_{n-k-1}$, $A_j = I_n$ for all other $j < m$. Then $W_k(A)$ is not convex.

Proof. Suppose $W_k(A)$ is convex. Note that $2k-1$ is the sum of the $k$ largest eigenvalues of $A_4$. If $X \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times k}$ with $X^*X = I_k$ such that $\text{tr}(X^*A_4X) = 2k-1$, then (see, e.g., [10]) the column space of $X$ must be spanned by $k$ eigenvectors of $A_4$ corresponding to the $k$ largest eigenvalues. Thus, there exists a $k \times k$ unitary matrix $V$ such that $XV$ has columns $ae_1 + \beta e_2, e_3, \ldots, e_{k+1}$, where $|a|^2 + |\beta|^2 = 1$. Then one can check that the subset

$$S = \{(a_1, \ldots, a_m) \in W_k(A) : a_4 = 2k-1\} = \{(a, b, c, 2k-1, k, \ldots, k) : a^2 + b^2 + c^2 = 1\}$$

of $W_k(A)$ is not convex, which is a contradiction. \qed

Apart from these negative results, one would still hope to get the convexity conclusion if $A$ has some special structure. In this paper, we consider linearly independent
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families \( \{A_1, \ldots, A_m\} \) so that \( W_k(A) \) is convex. In particular, we show that the maximum value of \( m \) is \( 2k(n-k)+1 \), which is much larger than \( 3 \) as ensured by the general theorem (see [2]).

A key idea in our study is to view \( W_k(A) \) as the image of the set of all rank \( k \) projections under the linear map

\[
\phi(P) = (\text{tr} A_1 P, \ldots, \text{tr} A_m P).
\]

To make this claim precise, denote by

\[
\mathcal{U}(C) = \{U^* C U : U^* U = I_n\}
\]

the unitary similarity orbit of a given Hermitian matrix \( C \). If

\[
J_k = I_k \oplus 0_{n-k},
\]

then \( \mathcal{U}(J_k) \) is the set of rank \( k \) orthogonal projections. Since a matrix \( P \) belongs to \( \mathcal{U}(J_k) \) if and only if \( P = X X^* \) for some \( X \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times k} \) satisfying \( X^* X = I_k \), we have

\[
W_k(A) = \{(\text{tr} A_1 P, \ldots, \text{tr} A_m P) : P \in \mathcal{U}(J_k)\}.
\]

Thus, \( W_k(A) \) is just the image of \( \mathcal{U}(J_k) \) under the linear projection onto the linear space spanned by \( \{A_1, \ldots, A_m\} \). With this new formulation of \( W_k(A) \), its convex hull can be written as

\[
\text{conv} W_k(A) = \{(\text{tr} A_1 X, \ldots, \text{tr} A_m X) : X \in \text{conv} \mathcal{U}(J_k)\}.
\]

Consequently, we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.2.** Let \( A = (A_1, \ldots, A_m) \) be an \( m \)-tuple of \( n \times n \) Hermitian matrices. Then \( W_k(A) \) is convex if and only if for any \( X \in \text{conv} \mathcal{U}(J_k) \) there exists \( P \in \mathcal{U}(J_k) \) so that \( \text{tr} A_j P = \text{tr} A_j X \) for all \( j = 1, \ldots, m \).

This rather simple observation turns out to be very useful in our study. Moreover, if \( A_1, \ldots, A_m \) are chosen from the standard basis for \( n \times n \) Hermitian matrices, then the constraints \( \text{tr} A_j P = \text{tr} A_j X \) for all \( j = 1, \ldots, m \), are just specifications of certain entries of \( P \). Thus, the problem reduces to construction of a rank \( k \) orthogonal projection \( P \) with some specified entries.

We shall use \( \{E_{11}, E_{12}, \ldots, E_{nn}\} \) to denote the standard basis for \( \mathbb{C}^{n \times n} \) in our discussion. Moreover, the following observations will be used frequently:

1. \( W_k(A) = W_k(V^* A_1 V, \ldots, V^* A_m V) \) for any unitary \( V \).
2. \( W_k(A) \) is convex if and only if \( W_k(I, A_1, \ldots, A_m) \) is convex.
3. Let \( \{B_1, \ldots, B_s\} \) be a basis for \( \text{span} \{A_1, \ldots, A_m\} \). Then \( W_k(A) \) is convex if and only if \( W_k(B_1, \ldots, B_s) \) is convex.
4. Suppose \( W_k(A) \) is convex. If \( B_j \in \text{span} \{I, A_1, \ldots, A_m\} \) for \( 1 \leq j \leq s \), then \( W_k(B_1, \ldots, B_s) \) is convex.

**2. The \( k \)th numerical range and orthogonal projections.** In this section, we study linearly independent families \( \{A_1, \ldots, A_m\} \) for which \( W_k(A_1, \ldots, A_m) \) is convex. We call such a family a linearly independent convex family for the \( k \)th numerical range. In particular, we would like to study maximal (in the sense of set inclusion) linearly independent convex families.

We begin with the following result on the completion of a certain partial Hermitian matrix to a rank \( k \) orthogonal projection.
THEOREM 2.1. Let $1 \leq k < n$ and $X \in \mathbb{C}^{k \times (n-k)}$. There exists a rank $k$ orthogonal projection of the form $(X^*, X)$ if and only if $\|X\| \leq 1/2$.

Proof. Suppose $X$ is an off-diagonal submatrix of a rank $k$ orthogonal projection. Then $\|X\| \leq 1/2$ by the result in [12]. For the converse, let $m = \min\{k, n-k\}$. Suppose $2X$ has singular value decomposition $UDV$, where $U$ and $V$ are unitary and

$$D_{rs} = \begin{cases} \sin t_r & \text{if } r = s \leq m, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases}$$

with $\pi/2 \geq t_1 \geq \cdots \geq t_m \geq 0$. Set

$$P = \begin{cases} U\text{diag}(1 + \cos t_1, \ldots, 1 + \cos t_k)U^*/2 & \text{if } k \leq n/2, \\ U(\text{diag}(1 + \cos t_1, \ldots, 1 + \cos t_m) \oplus I_{2k-n})U^*/2 & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases}$$

and

$$Q = \begin{cases} V^*\text{diag}(1 - \cos t_1, \ldots, 1 - \cos t_k) \oplus 0_{n-2k}V/2 & \text{if } k \leq n/2, \\ V^*\text{diag}(1 - \cos t_1, \ldots, 1 - \cos t_m)V/2 & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

One easily checks that $(P, X, Q)$ is a rank $k$ orthogonal projection. \(\Box\)

Clearly, the set of $k \times (n-k)$ matrices $X$ satisfying $\|X\| \leq 1/2$ is convex. By observations 1 and 2, we have the following result.

THEOREM 2.2. Let $A = (A_1, \ldots, A_m)$ be an $m$-tuple of $n \times n$ Hermitian matrices. Suppose $1 \leq k < n$ and

$$S = \left\{ \alpha I + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & X \\ X^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} : \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, X \in \mathbb{C}^{k \times (n-k)} \right\}.$$ 

If there exists a unitary $U$ such that $U^*A_jU \in S$ for all $j$, then $W_k(A)$ is convex.

Let $1 \leq k < n$. Since all matrices in $\mathcal{U}(J_k)$ have Frobenius norm equal to $\sqrt{k}$, the set $\mathcal{U}(J_k)$ is highly nonconvex in the sense that no three points in $\mathcal{U}(J_k)$ are collinear [18]. It is somewhat surprising that the projection of $\mathcal{U}(J_k)$ to a subspace of dimension $2k(n-k)+1$ can be convex. In particular, if $n = 2k$, then $2k(n-k)+1 = n^2/2+1$, which is more than half of the dimension of the space of $n \times n$ Hermitian matrices!

In any event, we have the following result showing that $2k(n-k)+1$ is indeed the upper limit.

THEOREM 2.3. The unitary orbit $\mathcal{U}(J_k)$ is a homogeneous manifold, and the tangent space at $J_k$ equals

$$\{i(HJ_k - J_kH) : H = H^*\} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & X \\ X^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} : X \in \mathbb{C}^{k \times (n-k)} \right\},$$

which has dimension $2k(n-k)$. Consequently, if $A = (A_1, \ldots, A_m)$ is such that

$$\dim(\text{span}\{I, A_1, \ldots, A_m\}) > 2k(n-k)+1,$$

then $W_k(A)$ is not convex.

Proof. The set $\mathcal{U}(J_k)$ is the orbit of $J_k$ under the group action $(U, C) \mapsto U^*CU$ for any unitary $U$ and Hermitian $C$. Thus $\mathcal{U}(J_k)$ is a homogeneous manifold.

Since every unitary matrix admits a representation of the form $e^{iH}$ for some Hermitian $H$, every smooth path of unitary matrices $U(t), -1 \leq t \leq 1, U(0) = I$, is
of the form $U(t) = e^{iH(t)}$, where $H(t), -1 \leq t \leq 1$, is a smooth path of Hermitian matrices such that $H(0) = 0$. Thus we have

$$\frac{d(e^{iH(t)}J_ke^{-iH(t)})}{dt} \bigg|_{t=0} = i(H'(0)J_k - J_kH'(0))$$

and the tangent space of $U(J_k)$ at $J_k$ is equal to

$$\{i(HJ_k - J_kH) : H = H^* \} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & X \\ X^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} : X \in \mathbb{C}^{k \times (n-k)} \right\},$$

which has dimension $2k(n-k)$.

Let $A = (A_1, \ldots, A_m)$ and $S = \text{span} \{I, A_1, \ldots, A_m\}$ with $\dim S > 2k(n-k) + 1$. We prove that $W_k(A)$ is not convex. Suppose $W_k(A)$ is indeed convex. By observation 4, we can find a linearly independent subset $\{I, B_1, \ldots, B_s\}$ of $\{I, A_1, \ldots, A_m\}$ with $s = 2k(n-k) + 1$ such that $W_k(B_1, \ldots, B_s)$ is also convex.

We claim that $W_k(B_1, \ldots, B_s)$ has a nonempty interior in $\mathbb{R}^s$. If this isn’t true, then the convex set $W_k(B_1, \ldots, B_s)$ must lie in a certain hyperplane

$$P = \{v \in \mathbb{R}^s : v^Tw = d\},$$

for some unit vector $w = (w_1, \ldots, w_s)^t \in \mathbb{R}^s$ and $d \in \mathbb{R}$. But then we have

$$\text{tr} \left( \sum_{j=1}^s w_jB_j \right) = d$$

for all $X \in U(J_k)$, i.e., $W_k(\sum_{j=1}^s w_jB_j) = \{d\}$. It follows that (see [10]) $\sum_{j=1}^s w_jB_j = dI/k$, contradicting the fact that $\{I, B_1, \ldots, B_s\}$ is linearly independent.

Now $U(J_k)$ is a homogeneous manifold of (real) dimension $2k(n-k)$ and $\phi(U(J_k)) = W_k(B_1, \ldots, B_s)$ with

$$\phi(P) = (\text{tr} B_1P, \ldots, \text{tr} B_sP).$$

The set $W_k(B_1, \ldots, B_s) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^s$ with $s = 2k(n-k) + 1$ cannot have a nonempty interior. So, the assumption that $\dim S > 2k(n-k) + 1$ cannot be true. \( \Box \)

By the last two theorems, we see that a basis for the tangent space of $U(J_k)$ at any point, together with the identity matrix, form a maximal linearly independent convex family for the $k$th generalized numerical range.

Next we turn to other linearly independent families $\{A_1, \ldots, A_m\}$ so that $W_k(A)$ is convex. By the result in [14], we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.4.** Let $1 \leq k \leq n/2$ and $P \in \mathbb{C}^{k \times k}$ be Hermitian. Then there exists a rank $k$ orthogonal projection of the form $(\tilde{P} \ 0)$ if and only if all eigenvalues of $P$ lie in $[0, 1]$.

Clearly, the set of matrices $P \in \mathbb{C}^{k \times k}$ with eigenvalues lying in $[0, 1]$ is convex. Using Theorem 1.2, observations 1 and 2, we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.5.** Let $A = (A_1, \ldots, A_m)$ be an $m$-tuple of Hermitian matrices. Suppose

$$S = \{P \oplus \alpha I_{n-k} : \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \ P \text{ is } k \times k \text{ Hermitian} \}.$$ 

If there exists a unitary $U$ such that $U^*A_jU \in S$ for all $j$, then $W_k(A)$ is convex.
3. Convex families for the first joint numerical range. We first identify a maximal linearly independent convex family for the first joint numerical range which is different from those constructed in the previous section.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let $A = (A_1, \ldots, A_m)$ be an $m$-tuple of Hermitian matrices. Suppose

$$S = \text{span} \left( \{ E_{jj} : 1 \leq j \leq n \} \cup \{ E_{j,j+1} + E_{j+1,j} : 1 \leq j \leq n-1 \} \right).$$

If there exists a unitary $U$ such that $U^* A_j U \in S$ for all $j$, then $W_1(A)$ is convex.

**Proof.** Let $A = (A_1, \ldots, A_{2n-1})$ so that $A_j = E_{jj}$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$ and $A_{n+j} = (E_{j,j+1} + E_{j+1,j})/2$ for $1 \leq j \leq n-1$. Then $(x_1, \ldots, x_{2n-1}) \in W_1(A)$ if and only if there is a unit vector $v = (v_1, \ldots, v_n)^t \in \mathbb{C}^n$ such that

$$x_j = |v_j|^2, \quad x_{n+j} = (v_j \bar{v}_{j+1} + \bar{v}_j v_{j+1})/2,$$

if $1 \leq j \leq n$, and

$$x_{n+j} = (v_j \bar{v}_{j+1} + \bar{v}_j v_{j+1})/2, \quad \text{if } 1 \leq j < n.$$

These conditions hold if and only if $(x_1, \ldots, x_{2n-1})$ satisfies

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} x_j = 1, \quad x_j \geq 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq n, \quad \text{and}$$

$$|x_{n+j}|^2 \leq x_j x_{j+1} \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq n-1.$$

Now suppose that $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_{2n-1})$, $y = (y_1, \ldots, y_{2n-1}) \in W_1(A)$, and $z = (z_1, \ldots, z_{2n-1})$ equals $(x+y)/2$. Clearly, we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} z_j = 1, \quad z_j \geq 0 \text{ for } j = 1, \ldots, n.$$

Moreover, for $j = 1, \ldots, n-1$,

$$4|z_{n+j}|^2 = |x_{n+j} + y_{n+j}|^2 \leq (\sqrt{x_j x_{j+1}} + \sqrt{y_j y_{j+1}})^2 \leq (x_j + y_j)(x_{j+1} + y_{j+1}).$$

Hence $z \in W_1(A)$. Since $W_1(A)$ is closed, we conclude that it is a convex set. A result of Horn [8] implies that $W_1(E_{11}, E_{22}, \ldots, E_{nn})$ is convex. Theorem 3.1 strengthens this statement.

Note that a maximal linearly independent convex family of the first joint numerical range may not have $2(n-1) + 1$ elements as shown in the following example.

**Example 3.2.** Suppose $(k, n) = (1, 3)$. Then $\{I_3, A_1, A_2, A_3\}$ with

$$A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i & 0 \\ -i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

is a maximal linearly independent convex family for $W_1(A)$.

**Proof.** Suppose there exists $A_4$ such that $\{I, A_1, \ldots, A_4\}$ is linearly independent and $W_1(I_3, A_1, \ldots, A_4)$ is convex. One may replace $A_4$ by a matrix of the form $A_4 = (a_0 I_3 + a_1 A_1 + a_2 A_2 + a_3 A_3)$ so that the leading $2 \times 2$ principal submatrix is zero. Since $W_1(I_3, A_1, \ldots, A_4)$ is convex if and only if $W_1(A)$ is convex with $A = (A_1, \ldots, A_4)$, we can focus on $W_1(A) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^4$. Using the unit vectors $(1, 0, 0)^t$ and $(0, 1, 0)^t$, we see
that \((1,0,0,0),(-1,0,0,0)\) \(\in W_1(A)\). By convexity, we see that \((0,0,0,0)\) \(\in W_1(A)\). One easily checks that a unit vector giving rise to the point \((0,0,0,0)\) \(\in W_1(A)\) must be of the form \((0,0,\mu)\) \(\in \mathbb{C}^3\). As a result, the \((3,3)\) entry of \(A_4\) must be 0. Let \(U\) be a unitary matrix of the form \(U = \hat{U} \oplus [1]\) so that \(\hat{A}_4 = U^*A_4U = E_{13} + E_{31}\). Then

\[
\text{span} \{A_1, A_2, A_3, \hat{A}_4\} = \text{span} \{U^*A_jU : 1 \leq j \leq 4\}.
\]

Thus \(W_1(A)\) is convex if and only if \(W_1(\hat{A})\) is convex, where \(\hat{A} = (A_1, A_2, A_3, \hat{A}_4)\). Note that

\[
W_1(\hat{A}) = \left\{ \left( |v_1|^2 - |v_2|^2, v_1\bar{v}_2 + v_2\bar{v}_1, i(v_2\bar{v}_1 - v_1\bar{v}_2), v_1\bar{v}_3 - v_3\bar{v}_1 \right) : v_1, v_2, v_3 \in \mathbb{C}, \sum_{j=1}^{3} |v_j|^2 = 1 \right\}.
\]

Using the unit vectors \((1/2, \pm 1/2, 1/\sqrt{2})\), we see that \((0, \pm 1/2, 0, 1/\sqrt{2})\) \(\in W_1(\hat{A})\). However, their midpoint \((0, 0, 0, 1/\sqrt{2})\) \(\notin W_1(\hat{A})\), which is a contradiction. 

In connection with the above discussion, it would be interesting to solve the following problems.

**Problem 3.3.** Characterize maximal linearly independent convex families for the first (or the \(k\)th) joint numerical range.

**Problem 3.4.** Determine maximal linearly independent convex families with the smallest number of elements.

### 4. A theorem on nonconvexity.

Let \(n \geq 3\). Then there exists a 4-tuple \(A\) of \(n \times n\) Hermitian matrices such that \(W_1(A)\) is not convex as mentioned in the introduction. Suppose \(A_1, A_2, A_3\) are \(n \times n\) Hermitian matrices such that \(\{I, A_1, A_2, A_3\}\) is linearly dependent, say, \(\text{span} \{I, A_1, A_2, A_3\} = \text{span} \{I, A_1, A_2\}\). Then for any Hermitian matrix \(A_4\), \(W(A_1, A_2, A_4)\) is convex, and so is \(W(I, A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4)\) by observations 2 and 3.

In the following, we show that as long as \(\{I, A_1, A_2, A_3\}\) is linearly independent, one can find \(A_4\) so that \(W_1(A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4)\) is not convex. We first establish the following auxiliary result, which is of independent interest.

**Theorem 4.1.** Let \(A_1, A_2, A_3\) be \(n \times n\) Hermitian matrices. If \(\{I, A_1, A_2, A_3\}\) is linearly independent, then there exists \(X \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times 2}\) with \(X^*X = I_2\) such that \(\{I_2, X^*A_1X, X^*A_2X, X^*A_3X\}\) is linearly independent.

**Proof.** We assume that \(n > 2\) to avoid trivial consideration. Since \(I, A_1, A_2\) are linearly independent, the complex matrix \(A_1 + iA_2\) cannot be written as \(\alpha H + \beta I\) for any \(\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}\) and Hermitian matrix \(H\). By [14, Theorem 3.5], there exists \(X \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times 2}\) such that \(X^*(A_1 + iA_2)X\) is not normal. By [9, Lemma 1.3.1], we may assume that \(X^*(A_1 + iA_2)X\) has equal diagonal entries \(\gamma_1 + i\gamma_2\) with \(\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \mathbb{R}\). Replace \(A_1\) and \(A_2\) by suitable linear combinations of \(A_1 - \gamma_1 I\) and \(A_2 - \gamma_2 I\); we may assume that

\[
X^*A_1X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad X^*A_2X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ -i & 0 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

If \(\{I_2, X^*A_1X, X^*A_2X, X^*A_3X\}\) is linearly independent, then we are done. If not, we may replace \(A_3\) by a suitable linear combination of \(I, A_1, A_2, A_3\) so that \(X^*A_3X = 0\) and \(\{I, A_1, A_2, A_3\}\) is still linearly independent. Since \(A_3 \neq 0\), there exists \(Y = [X|y] \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times 3}\) such that \(Y^*Y = I_3\) and

\[
\hat{A}_1 = Y^*A_1Y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & a_1 \\ 1 & 0 & a_2 \\ a_1 & a_2 & a_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \hat{A}_2 = Y^*A_2Y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i & b_1 \\ -i & 0 & b_2 \\ b_1 & b_2 & b_3 \end{pmatrix}.
\]
and $\hat{A}_3 = Y^*A_3Y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & c_1 \\ 0 & 0 & c_2 \\ \bar{c}_1 & \bar{c}_2 & c_3 \end{pmatrix} \neq 0$.

We may assume that $c_2 \geq |c_1|$; otherwise, we may replace $\hat{A}_3$ by $D^*\hat{A}_3D$ with $D = \text{diag}(1, \mu, 1)$ or $D = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \oplus [1]$ for some suitable $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\mu| = 1$. One can then replace $\hat{A}_1$ and $\hat{A}_2$ by suitable linear combinations of $D^*\hat{A}_1D$ and $D^*\hat{A}_2D$, and assume that $\hat{A}_1$ and $\hat{A}_2$ are still of the form in the display.

Now consider $P = (1 \ 0 \ 0 \ \cos \theta \ \sin \theta \ \theta) \text{ }^t$ with $\theta \in (0, \pi/2)$. Then

$$P^*A_1P = \sin \theta \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \cot \theta + a_1 \\ \cot \theta + a_1 & (a_2 + \bar{a}_2) \cos \theta + a_3 \sin \theta \end{pmatrix},$$

$$P^*A_2P = \sin \theta \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \cot \theta + b_1 \\ -i \cot \theta + b_1 & (b_2 + \bar{b}_2) \cos \theta + b_3 \sin \theta \end{pmatrix},$$

$$P^*A_3P = \sin \theta \begin{pmatrix} 0 & c_1 \\ \bar{c}_1 & 2c_2 \cos \theta + c_3 \sin \theta \end{pmatrix}.$$

We claim that there exists $\theta \in (0, \pi/2)$ such that $\{I_2, P^*\hat{A}_1P, P^*\hat{A}_2P, P^*\hat{A}_3P\}$ is linearly independent, and hence the conclusion of the theorem follows. Since all the $(1, 1)$ entries of $P^*A_1P$, $P^*A_2P$, and $P^*A_3P$ equal 0, $I_2$ is not a linear combination of these three matrices. To establish our claim, we need only show that there exists $\theta \in (0, \pi/2)$ such that $P^*\hat{A}_1P$, $P^*\hat{A}_2P$, and $P^*\hat{A}_3P$ are linearly independent. To this end, construct the following matrix $B$, whose rows contain the real and imaginary parts of the entries of $P^*\hat{A}_1P$:

$$B = \sin \theta \begin{pmatrix} \cot \theta + (a_1 + \bar{a}_1)/2 & i(\bar{a}_1 - a_1)/2 & (a_2 + \bar{a}_2) \cos \theta + a_3 \sin \theta \\ (b_1 + \bar{b}_1)/2 & \cot \theta + i(b_1 - b_1)/2 & (b_2 + \bar{b}_2) \cos \theta + b_3 \sin \theta \\ (c_1 + \bar{c}_1)/2 & i(\bar{c}_1 - c_1)/2 & 2c_2 \cos \theta + c_3 \sin \theta \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now,

$$\text{det}(B)/\sin^4 \theta = \text{det} \begin{pmatrix} \cot \theta + (a_1 + \bar{a}_1)/2 & i(\bar{a}_1 - a_1)/2 & (a_2 + \bar{a}_2) \cot \theta + a_3 \\ (b_1 + \bar{b}_1)/2 & \cot \theta + i(b_1 - b_1)/2 & (b_2 + \bar{b}_2) \cot \theta + b_3 \\ (c_1 + \bar{c}_1)/2 & i(\bar{c}_1 - c_1)/2 & 2c_2 \cot \theta + c_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

can be viewed as $p(\cot \theta)$ for some real polynomial $p$ of degree 3. If $\text{det}(B) = 0$ for all $\theta \in (0, \pi/2)$, then the coefficient of $\cot^3 \theta$ in $p(\cot \theta)$ is 0, which is just $2c_2$ by expanding $\text{det}(B)/\sin^4 \theta$. Since $c_2 \geq |c_1|$, we see that $c_1 = 0$ as well. Now, consider the coefficient of $\cot^2 \theta$ in $p(\cot \theta)$, which is just $c_3$. Again, it has to be 0. It follows that $A_3 = 0$, which is a contradiction.

Now we are ready to state the nonconvexity result of the joint numerical range.

**Theorem 4.2.** Suppose $A_1, A_2, A_3$ are $n \times n$ Hermitian matrices such that $\{I, A_1, A_2, A_3\}$ is linearly independent. Then there exists an $n \times n$ Hermitian matrix $A_4$ such that $W_1(A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4)$ is not convex.

**Proof.** By the previous theorem, there exists $X \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times 2}$ such that $X^*X = I_2$ and $\{I_2, X^*A_1X, X^*A_2X, X^*A_3X\}$ is linearly independent. Let $A_4 = XX^*$. Then by [11, Lemma 4.1] we have $(a, b, c, 1) \in W_1(A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4)$ if and only if $(a, b, c) \in W_1(X^*A_1X, X^*A_2X, X^*A_3X)$, which is not convex [1]. The result follows. \qed
We remark that Theorem 4.1 and its proof can be easily modified to deal with infinite dimensional self-adjoint operators $A_1, A_2, A_3$. In general, it is interesting to solve the following problem.

**Problem 4.3.** If a linearly independent family $\{A_1, \ldots, A_m\}$ of (finite- or infinite-dimensional) self-adjoint operators is given, where $(r-1)^2 < m \leq r^2$, does there exist an $X$ such that $X^*X = I_r$ and $\{X^*A_jX : j = 1, \ldots, m\}$ is linearly independent?

By private communication, Doug Farenick pointed out that this problem can be studied in the context of unital completely positive maps on $C^*$-algebras.

5. Related results and questions. There are many variations of our problems. We mention a few of them in what follows.

**5.1. Real symmetric matrices.** In applications, one often has to consider real symmetric matrices instead of complex Hermitian matrices. One can modify the results and proofs on complex Hermitian matrices and get the following analogues for real symmetric matrices.

**THEOREM 5.1.** Let $A = (A_1, \ldots, A_m)$ be an $m$-tuple of real symmetric matrices. Suppose $1 \leq k \leq n/2$ and

$$S = \left\{ \alpha I + \begin{pmatrix} 0_k & X \\ X^t & 0_{n-k} \end{pmatrix} : \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \ X \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times (n-k)} \right\},$$

or

$$S = \{ P \oplus \alpha I_{n-k} : \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \ P \ is \ k \times k \ real \ symmetric \}.$$ 

If there is a real orthogonal $Q$ such that $Q^t A_j Q \in S$, then $W_k(A)$ is convex.

**THEOREM 5.2.** The orthogonal similarity orbit $O(J_k)$ is a homogeneous manifold, and the tangent space at $J_k$ equals

$$\{ (KJ_k - J_kK) : K = - K^t \} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & X \\ X^t & 0 \end{pmatrix} : X \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times (n-k)} \right\},$$

which has dimension $k(n-k)$. Consequently, if $A = (A_1, \ldots, A_m)$ such that

$$\dim (\text{span} \{ I, A_1, \ldots, A_m \}) > k(n-k) + 1,$$

then $W_k(A)$ is not convex.

By this theorem and a result of Horn [8], we have the following corollary.

**COROLLARY 5.3.** The elements of $A = (E_{11}, E_{22}, \ldots, E_{nn})$ form a maximal linearly independent convex family for $W_1(A)$.

5.2. Rectangular matrices. Suppose $A = (A_1, \ldots, A_m)$, where $A_1, \ldots, A_m$ are $n \times r$ matrices over $F = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$. To be specific, we assume that $n \leq r$ in our discussion. Otherwise, consider the transposes of $A_1, \ldots, A_m$. For $1 \leq k \leq n$, define

$$V_k(A) = \left\{ \left( \sum_{j=1}^k x_j^* A_i y_j \right)^m : \{x_1, \ldots, x_k\} \text{ is an orthonormal set of } F^n, \ \{y_1, \ldots, y_k\} \text{ is an orthonormal set of } F^r \right\},$$

which is a subset of $F^m$. Let $V_k$ be the collection of $n \times r$ matrices $X$ such that $X^*X$ is a rank $k$ orthogonal projection. It is not hard to see that

$$V_k(A) = \{ (\text{tr } X^* A_1, \ldots, \text{tr } X^* A_m) : X \in V_k \}. $$


It was shown in [13, Theorems 14 and 41] that $V_k(A)$ is not convex in general if $m > 2$. Again, if $A_1, \ldots, A_m$ belong to a certain subset, then one can get convexity for a much larger $m$ as shown in the following result.

**Theorem 5.4.** Let $A = (A_1, \ldots, A_m)$ be an $m$-tuple of $n \times r$ matrices. Suppose

$$S = \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{cc} X & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times r} : X \in \mathbb{F}^{p \times q} \right\},$$

where $\min\{p, q\} \leq k \leq n + r - p - q$. If there exist square matrices $U$ and $V$ with $U^*U = I_n$ and $V^*V = I_r$ such that $UA_jV \in S$ for all $j$, then $V_k(A)$ is convex.

The proof of this theorem depends on the following theorem.

**Theorem 5.5.** Let $(p, q) \leq (n, r)$ in the entrywise sense, and $\min\{p, q\} \leq k \leq n + r - p - q$. Then a $p \times q$ matrix $X$ can be embedded in an $n \times r$ matrix $Y$ so that $Y^*Y$ is a rank $k$ orthogonal projection if and only if $\|X\| \leq 1$. Hence, the collection of all such $X \in \mathbb{F}^{p \times q}$ is a convex set.

**Proof.** By a result of Thompson [17] and our assumptions on the positive integers $n, r, p, q, k$, we see that a $p \times q$ matrix $X$ with singular values $s_1 \geq \cdots \geq s_k$ can be embedded in an $n \times r$ matrix with the $k$ largest singular values equal to 1 and the rest equal to 0 if and only if $s_i \leq 1$. The result follows. \qed

Let $R_k = E_{11} + E_{22} + \cdots + E_{kk} \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times r}$. In the complex case, the tangent space of the manifold $\mathcal{Y}_k$ at $R_k$ is

$$T(R_k) = \{i(R_kH + GR_k) : H = H^*, \ G = G^* \} = \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{cc} iX & Y \\ Z & 0_{(n-k) \times (r-k)} \end{array} \right) : X = X^* \in \mathbb{C}^{k \times k} \right\}$$

and has real dimension $k^2 + 2k(n + r - 2k)$. In the real case, the tangent space of the manifold $\mathcal{Y}_k$ at $R_k$ is

$$T(R_k) = \{R_kH + GR_k : H = -H^t, \ G = -G^t \} = \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{cc} X & Y \\ Z & 0_{(n-k) \times (r-k)} \end{array} \right) : X = -X^t \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k} \right\}$$

and has real dimension $k(k - 1)/2 + k(n + r - 2k)$. It would be interesting to see whether one can construct a maximal linearly independent convex family for $V_k(A)$ of this size.

**5.3. Complex symmetric matrices.** Let $A = (A_1, \ldots, A_m)$, where $A_1, \ldots, A_m$ are $n \times n$ complex symmetric matrices. For $1 \leq k \leq n$, let $J_k = I_k \oplus 0_{n-k}$, and define

$$W_k(A) = \{ \text{tr} \ J_kU^tA_1U, \ldots, \text{tr} \ J_kU^tA_mU : U^*U = I \}.$$

Then Theorem 5.1 is valid for the complex case. However, the tangent space of the manifold at $J_k$ is

$$U^t(J_k) = \{i(J_kH + H^tJ_k) : H = H^* \} = \left\{ i \left( \begin{array}{cc} X & Y \\ Y^t & 0_{n-k} \end{array} \right) : X = X^t \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k}, \ Y \in \mathbb{C}^{k \times (n-k)} \right\}$$

and has real dimension $k(k + 1)/2 + 2k(n - k)$. It is interesting to know whether one can find a maximal linearly independent convex family for $W_k(A)$ of this size.
Note that one may consider $W_k^t(A)$ for an $m$-tuple of complex matrices $A = (A_1, \ldots, A_m)$. However, it is easy to check that $W_k^t(A)$ is the same as $W_k^t(\hat{A}_1, \ldots, \hat{A}_m)$, where $\hat{A}_j$ is the symmetric part of $A_j$ for all $j$. For skew-symmetric matrices, one needs a different treatment, as shown in the next subsection.

5.4. Skew-symmetric matrices. Suppose $A_1, \ldots, A_m$ are skew-symmetric matrices over $F = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$. For $1 \leq k \leq n/2$, let $T_k = (0_k \ I_k) \oplus 0_{n - 2k}$, and define

$$W_k^t(A) = \{ (\text{tr} \ T_k U^t A_1 U, \ldots, \text{tr} \ T_k U^t A_m U) : U^* U = I \}.$$ 

We have the following convexity theorem.

**Theorem 5.6.** Let $A = (A_1, \ldots, A_m)$ be an $m$-tuple of $n \times n$ skew-symmetric matrices. Suppose

$$\mathcal{S} = \{E_{j,k+j} : j = 1, \ldots, k\}, \quad \text{or}$$

$$\mathcal{S} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0_p & 0 & X \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -X^t & 0 & 0_q \end{pmatrix} : X \in \mathbb{F}^{p \times q}, \|X\| \leq 1 \right\}$$

for some positive integers $p$ and $q$ such that $n - (p + q) \geq k \geq \min\{p, q\}$. If there exists a square matrix $U$ with $U^* U = I_n$ such that $U^t A_j U \in \mathcal{S}$ for all $j$, then $W_k^t(A)$ is convex.

The proof of this theorem depends on the following two results. First we have the following (see [16] and its references).

**Theorem 5.7.** Let $(d_1, \ldots, d_k) \in \mathbb{F}^k$. There exists $U$ with $U^* U = I_n$ such that the $(1, k + 1)$, $(2, k + 2)$, \ldots, $(k, 2k)$ entries of $U^t T_k U$ equal $d_1, \ldots, d_k$ if and only if $|d_j| \leq 1$ for all $j$. Hence, the collection of such $(d_1, \ldots, d_k)$ forms a convex set.

Note that the singular values of the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 0_k & X \\ -X^t & 0_{n-k} \end{pmatrix}$ are just two copies of those of $X$. Applying the result on rectangular matrices to the $k \times (n - k)$ right top corner of a skew-symmetric matrix, we have the following result.

**Theorem 5.8.** Let $p$ and $q$ be positive integers with $n - (p + q) \geq k \geq \min\{p, q\}$. Then a $p \times q$ matrix $X$ can be embedded in the right top corner of an $n \times n$ skew-symmetric matrix with the $2k$ largest singular values equal to 1 and the rest equal to 0 if $\|X\| \leq 1$. Hence, the collection of all such $X$ is a convex set.
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**Note added in proof.** Professor M. D. Choi pointed out that the answer of Problem 4.3 is negative. For instance, the $10 \times 10$ Hermitian matrices $A_j = E_{1j} + E_{j1}$, $j = 2, \ldots, 10$, are linearly independent, but it is impossible to have a $10 \times 3$ matrix $V$ with $V^* V = I_3$ so that $\{V^* A_j V : j = 2, \ldots, 10\}$ is linearly independent. A modified problem would be the following: Given linearly independent Hermitian operators $A_1, \ldots, A_m$, find the smallest positive integer $r$ so that there exists $V$ with $V^* V = I_r$ for which the set $\{V^* A_j V : j = 1, \ldots, m\}$ is still linearly independent.
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