

THEOREM : $\Pr(X > E[X] + \lambda) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda^2}{2(\nu + a\lambda/3)}\right)$ with $X = \sum a_i X_i$,
 $p_i = \Pr(X = 1)$, $1 - p_1 = \Pr(X = 0)$, $a = \max_i a_i$, and $\nu = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 p_i$.

Recall that $E[\deg(v_i)] = w_i$ with the w_i s chosen beforehand.

Let $S \subseteq V(G)$ and $\text{Vol}(S) = \sum_{i \in S} w_i$.

FACT 2: With probability at least $1 - 2e^{-t^2/2}$ we have $2e(G) > \text{Vol}(G) - c\sqrt{\text{Vol}(G)}$. (Note that $\text{Vol}(G)$ is just $\text{Vol}(S)$ where $S = V(G)$).

So from the above theorem,

$$\Pr(2e(G) < (1 + \epsilon)\text{Vol}(G)) > 1 - \exp\left(\frac{-\epsilon^2 \text{Vol}(G)}{2 + 2\epsilon/3}\right)$$

Interesting graphs start to occur around $\text{Vol}(G) = \Omega(n)$.

The volume is bounded by $2 \leq \text{Vol}(G) \leq 2\binom{n}{2}$.

NOTE: Recall $d = \frac{\sum w_i}{n} = \frac{\text{Vol}(G)}{n}$ which is the average degree. Also recall that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{d} &= \frac{\sum w_i^2}{\sum w_i} \\ &= \frac{\sum w_i^2}{\text{Vol}(G)} \\ &= \frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum w_i^2}{\frac{1}{n} \sum w_i} \\ &\geq \frac{\left(\frac{\sum w_i}{n}\right)^2}{\frac{1}{n} \sum w_i} \\ &= \frac{\sum w_i}{n} \\ &= d \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

With (1) coming from Jansen's inequality. If all the w_i s are equal, this is an equal sign.

EXAMPLE 3: Let $d < 1 < \tilde{d}$ on a graph with n vertices, M large. For $\left\lceil n - \frac{n}{M} \right\rceil$ of the vertices, the weight is $x = o(1)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and M remaining constant.

The other vertices have weight $1 + \epsilon$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} d &= \frac{x \lceil n - \frac{n}{M} \rceil + (1 + \epsilon) \lfloor \frac{n}{M} \rfloor}{n} \\ &\approx \frac{xn + (1 + \epsilon - x) \frac{n}{m}}{n} \\ &\approx \frac{x + 1 + \epsilon - x}{M} \\ &\rightarrow \frac{1 + \epsilon}{M} \quad \text{As } n \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{d} &= \frac{x^2 \lceil n - \frac{n}{M} \rceil + (1 + \epsilon)^2 \lfloor \frac{n}{M} \rfloor}{x \lceil n - \frac{n}{M} \rceil + (1 + \epsilon) \lfloor \frac{n}{M} \rfloor} \\ &\approx \frac{x^2(n - \frac{n}{M}) + (1 + \epsilon)^2 \frac{n}{M}}{x(n - \frac{n}{M}) + (1 + \epsilon) \frac{n}{M}} \\ &\approx \frac{x^2(1 - \frac{1}{M}) + (1 + \epsilon)^2 \frac{1}{M}}{x(1 - \frac{1}{M}) + (1 + \epsilon) \frac{1}{M}} \\ &\rightarrow 1 + \epsilon \quad \text{As } n \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

Then $\text{Vol}(G) = nd \approx n \left(\frac{1 + \epsilon}{M} \right)$.

Let A be the set of vertices with weight x . Then $|A| = \lceil n - \frac{n}{M} \rceil$. Let B be the set of vertices with weight $1 + \epsilon$. Then $|B| = \lfloor \frac{n}{M} \rfloor$.

Let $u_i v_j \in A$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr(v_i \sim v_j) &= \frac{w_i w_j}{\sum v_k} \\ &= \frac{x^2}{\text{Vol}(G)} \\ &\approx \frac{x^2}{n \left(\frac{1 + \epsilon}{M} \right)} \end{aligned}$$

Then A has an Erdős-Rényi graph with $\lceil n - \frac{n}{M} \rceil$ vertices.

Then the number of vertices $\lceil n - \frac{n}{M} \rceil \approx n \left(\frac{M - 1}{M} \right)$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
 p &= \frac{x^2}{\text{Vol}(G)} \\
 &\approx \frac{x^2 M}{n(1 + \epsilon)}
 \end{aligned}$$

So we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 np &= n \frac{M-1}{M} \cdot \frac{x^2 M}{n(1 + \epsilon)} \\
 &= \frac{(M-1)x^2}{1 + \epsilon}
 \end{aligned}$$

Also B has an Erdős-Rényi graph with $\lfloor \frac{n}{M} \rfloor$ vertices. In B we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 p &= \frac{(1 + \epsilon)^2}{\text{Vol}(G)} \\
 &\approx \frac{(1 + \epsilon)^2}{n \frac{1 + \epsilon}{M}} \\
 &\approx \frac{M(1 + \epsilon)}{n}
 \end{aligned}$$

So we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 np &\approx \frac{n}{M} \cdot \frac{M(1 + \epsilon)}{n} \\
 &= 1 + \epsilon \\
 &> 1
 \end{aligned}$$

So we know that $\exists C = C(\epsilon)$ where B contains a component of size greater than or equal to $C_\epsilon \lfloor \frac{n}{M} \rfloor \leq \frac{C_\epsilon}{M} \cdot n$.

Thus $\text{Vol}(A) \approx x \cdot \frac{M-1}{M} \cdot n$ and $\text{Vol}(B) \approx (1 + \epsilon) \frac{n}{M}$.

NOTE: So one of the conclusions drawn from the 1st paper is that the large component of such a graph is based on \tilde{d} as opposed to $d = \tilde{d}$ as in the $G_{n,p}$ model.

Paper 2

Let average distance be defined as $\overline{dist}(G) = \frac{1}{\binom{n}{2}} \sum_{u=v} dist(u, v)$.

Some conditions:

- $G(w)$ is strongly sparse which means

1. \tilde{d} satisfies $0 < \log \tilde{d} \ll \log n$

2. For some constant $c > 0$ all but $o(n)$ vertices have $w_1 \geq c$ also $d = \frac{\sum w_i}{n} > 1$.

- \mathbf{w} is admissible which means (1) and (2) hold from above and (3) $\exists U \subseteq V(G)$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Vol}_2(U) &= (1 + o(1))\text{Vol}_2(G) \\ &\gg \frac{\text{Vol}_3(U) \log \tilde{d} \log \log n}{\tilde{d} \log n} \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 1: If \mathbf{w} is admissible the corresponding $G(w)$ has average distance $(1 + o(1)) \frac{\log n}{\log \tilde{d}}$ with probability approaching 1 as $n \rightarrow \infty$.