

Homework 3

Spring 2009 M606:
Enumerative Combinatorics and Partially Ordered Sets

Due Mar. 24, 2009, assigned Mar. 10, 2009

You may ask me any questions in office hours.
L^AT_EX or other typed solutions are very strongly preferred.

1 Do four (4) of the following:

PROBLEM 1 *In this exercise, we will use generating functions to prove that, for any nonnegative integers n and k that*

$$\binom{n+k+1}{k+1} = \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{i+k}{k}. \quad (1)$$

a. Prove that

$$D^k \frac{1}{1-x} \overset{\text{ops}}{\leftrightarrow} \{(n+k)_k\}_0^\infty.$$

b. Prove that

$$f \overset{\text{ops}}{\leftrightarrow} \{a_n\}_0^\infty \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{f}{1-x} \overset{\text{ops}}{\leftrightarrow} \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^n a_i \right\}_0^\infty.$$

c. Use the above to prove that

$$\frac{1}{(1-x)^{k+2}} \overset{\text{ops}}{\leftrightarrow} \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{i+k}{k} \right\}_0^\infty.$$

d. Observe that $D^{k+1} \frac{1}{1-x} = \frac{(k+1)!}{(x-1)^{k+2}}$ and so

$$\frac{(k+1)!}{(x-1)^{k+2}} \overset{\text{ops}}{\leftrightarrow} \{(n+k+1)_{k+1}\}_0^\infty.$$

e. Combine this information to prove (1).

PROBLEM 2 *Here we provide a good means by which to compute $\sum_{i=0}^n i^k$.*

a. Prove that

$$\frac{1}{1-x}(xD)^k \frac{1}{1-x} \stackrel{\text{ops}}{\leftrightarrow} \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^n i^k \right\}_0^\infty.$$

b. Prove that

$$f \stackrel{\text{ops}}{\leftrightarrow} \{a_n\}_0^\infty \Rightarrow x^k f \stackrel{\text{ops}}{\leftrightarrow} \{a_{n-k}\}_k^\infty.$$

In fact, one can state that it's $\{a_{n-k}\}_0^\infty$, where $a_n = 0$ if n is negative.

c. Prove that

$$\frac{1}{1-x}(xD)^2 \frac{1}{1-x} = \frac{x+x^2}{(1-x)^4},$$

and use this to prove that

$$\frac{1}{1-x}(xD)^2 \frac{1}{1-x} \stackrel{\text{ops}}{\leftrightarrow} \left\{ \binom{(n-1)+4-1}{4-1} + \binom{(n-2)+4-1}{4-1} \right\}_0^\infty.$$

d. Use this idea to compute $\sum_{i=0}^n i^4$ in terms of a linear combination of binomial coefficients. It should simplify to

$$\sum_{i=0}^n i^4 = \frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)(3n^2+3n-1)}{30}.$$

PROBLEM 3 Solve the following difference equations:

- a. $\begin{cases} a_n = 2a_{n-1} - a_{n-2}, & \text{if } n \geq 2; \\ a_0 = 0, a_1 = 1. \end{cases}$
- b. $\begin{cases} a_n = -a_{n-2}, & \text{if } n \geq 2; \\ a_0 = 1, a_1 = 0. \end{cases}$
- c. $\begin{cases} a_n = -a_{n-1}, & \text{if } n \geq 1; \\ a_0 = 1. \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} a_n = -a_{n-1}, & \text{if } n \geq 1; \\ a_0 = 0. \end{cases}$
- d. $\begin{cases} a_n = \alpha a_{n-1} + \beta, & \text{if } n \geq 1; \\ a_0, \alpha, \beta & \text{fixed.} \end{cases}$

Hint: Careful on the last one. There's a different answer for $\alpha \neq 1$ and $\alpha = 1$.

PROBLEM 4

- a. Use the exponential generating function of the sequence $\{1\}_{n \geq 0}$ and Cauchy's inequality, as given in class, to show that $n! \geq (n/e)^n$
- b. Use Cauchy's inequality to prove that

$$\binom{n}{k} \leq \frac{n^n}{(n-k)^{n-k} k^k}.$$

In both cases, Cauchy's inequality is not enough. One has to choose the value r cleverly; i.e., optimally.

PROBLEM 5 Let $B(n)$ denote the n^{th} Bell number. That is, if $n \geq 1$, then $B(n)$ denotes the number of partitions of $[n]$ and $B(0) = 1$.

a. Use Cauchy's inequality to prove that

$$\frac{\ln B(n)}{n} \leq \frac{1}{n} \ln(n!) + \frac{e^r - 1}{n} - \ln r$$

for all positive numbers r and any nonnegative integer n .

b. In 1958, de Bruijn proved that

$$\frac{\ln B(n)}{n} = \ln n - \ln \ln n - 1 + \frac{\ln \ln n}{\ln n} + \frac{1}{\ln n} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\ln \ln n}{\ln n} \right)^2 + O \left(\frac{\ln \ln n}{(\ln n)^2} \right).$$

Prove a weaker estimate by substituting $e^r = \frac{n}{\ln n}$ in part a. to establish that

$$\frac{\ln B(n)}{n} \leq \ln n - \ln \ln n - 1 + o(1).$$

c. Asymptotically, which is larger? $B(n)$ or $n!$?

Note: The Cauchy inequality does not give good bounds for the Bell numbers for small values of n , but it looks very good asymptotically. To see this, we can use the following code in Maple:

```
with(combinat,bell):
for n from 100 to 1000 by 100 do
  p:=fsolve(r*exp(r)=n,r);
  print(n, '=n\t\t', evalf(bell(n)). '=Bell(n)\t\t',
  n!*exp(exp(p)-1)/p^n. '=Upper bound'); od:
```

It gives $B(1000) \approx 0.29 \times 10^{1928}$ as opposed to an upper bound of 0.59×10^{1930} .