

# Rational Realizations of the Minimum Rank of a Sign Pattern Matrix

Marina Arav, Frank J. Hall, Selcuk Koyuncu, and Zhongshan Li\*

Department of Mathematics and Statistics

Georgia State University

Atlanta, GA 30303, USA

and

Bhaskara Rao

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science

Indiana State University

Terre Haute, IN 47809, USA

## Abstract

A *sign pattern matrix* is a matrix whose entries are from the set  $\{+, -, 0\}$ . The minimum rank of a sign pattern matrix  $A$  is the minimum of the ranks of the real matrices whose entries have signs equal to the corresponding entries of  $A$ . It is conjectured that the minimum rank of every sign pattern matrix can be realized by a rational matrix. The equivalence of this conjecture to several seemingly unrelated statements are established. For some special cases, such as when  $A$  is entrywise nonzero, or the minimum rank of  $A$  is at most 2, or the minimum rank of  $A$  is at least  $n - 1$  (where  $A$  is  $m \times n$ ), the conjecture is shown to hold. Connections between this conjecture and the existence of positive rational solutions of certain systems of homogeneous quadratic polynomial equations with each coefficient equal to either  $-1$  or  $1$  are investigated.

*AMS classification:* 15A36; 15A03; 15A48; 15A24; 11D09; 11P21

*Keywords:* Sign pattern matrix; Minimum rank; Maximum rank; Rational matrix

## 1. Introduction

In qualitative and combinatorial matrix theory, we study properties of a matrix based on combinatorial information, such as the signs of entries in the matrix. A matrix whose entries are from the set  $\{+, -, 0\}$  is called a *sign pattern matrix* (or sign pattern, or pattern). We denote the set of all  $n \times n$  sign pattern matrices by  $Q_n$ . For a real matrix  $B$ ,  $\text{sgn}(B)$

---

\*Corresponding author, E-mail: zli@gsu.edu

is the sign pattern matrix obtained by replacing each positive (respectively, negative, zero) entry of  $B$  by  $+$  (respectively,  $-$ ,  $0$ ). For a sign pattern matrix  $A$ , the *sign pattern class* of  $A$  is defined by

$$Q(A) = \{ B : \text{sgn}(B) = A \}.$$

The sign pattern  $I_n \in Q_n$  is the diagonal pattern of order  $n$  with  $+$  diagonal entries.

A sign pattern matrix  $P$  is called a *permutation pattern* if exactly one entry in each row and column is equal to  $+$ , and all other entries are  $0$ . Two sign pattern matrices  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are said to be *permutationally equivalent* if there are permutation patterns  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  such that  $A_2 = P_1 A_1 P_2$ .

A *signature (sign) pattern* is a diagonal sign pattern all of whose diagonal entries are nonzero. Two sign pattern matrices  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are said to be *signatorily equivalent* if there are signature patterns  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  such that  $A_2 = S_1 A_1 S_2$ .

A sign pattern  $A \in Q_n$  is said to be *sign nonsingular* if every matrix  $B \in Q(A)$  is nonsingular. It is well known that  $A$  is sign nonsingular if and only if  $\det A = +$  or  $\det A = -$ , that is, in the standard expansion of  $\det A$  into  $n!$  terms, there is at least one nonzero term, and all the nonzero terms have the same sign.  $A$  is said to be *sign singular* if every matrix  $B \in Q(A)$  is singular, or equivalently, if  $\det A = 0$ .

A sign pattern matrix  $A$  is said to be an *L-matrix* (see [3]) if every real matrix  $B \in Q(A)$  has linearly independent rows. It is known that  $A$  is an L-matrix if and only if for every nonzero diagonal pattern  $D$ ,  $DA$  has a unisigned column (that is, a nonzero column that is nonnegative or nonpositive).

For a sign pattern matrix  $A$ , the *minimum rank* of  $A$ , denoted  $\text{mr}(A)$ , is defined as

$$\text{mr}(A) = \min_{B \in Q(A)} \{\text{rank } B\},$$

while the *maximum rank* of  $A$ , denoted  $\text{MR}(A)$ , is defined as

$$\text{MR}(A) = \max_{B \in Q(A)} \{\text{rank } B\}.$$

The maximum rank of a sign pattern  $A$  is the same as the term rank of  $A$ , which is the maximum number of nonzero entries which lie in distinct rows and in distinct columns of  $A$ . However, determination of the minimum rank of a sign pattern matrix in general is a longstanding open problem (see [1, 9]) in combinatorial matrix theory. Recently, there have been some papers concerning this topic, for example [2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11]. In particular, as indicated in [5], matrices realizing the minimum rank of a sign pattern have applications in the study of neural networks. In [2, 8, 10, 11], the authors allow a free diagonal. We consider a fixed sign pattern so that the diagonal entries have prescribed signs. In this paper we raise the following basic conjecture. For any  $m \times n$  sign pattern matrix  $A$  with  $\text{mr}(A) = k$ , there exists a rational matrix (equivalently, an integer matrix)  $B \in Q(A)$  such that  $\text{rank } B = k$ . We know that the conjecture holds in certain cases, but we do not know the complete answer. In Section 2, we give several statements equivalent to this original conjecture, and in Sections 3 and 4 we exhibit some cases for which the conjecture or some

equivalent statement holds. Finally, in Section 5, we consider connections between this conjecture and the existence of positive rational solutions of certain systems of quadratic homogeneous polynomial equations with each coefficient equal to either  $-1$  or  $1$ .

## 2. Equivalent Conjectures

We recall the original conjecture, which we now refer to as Conjecture 1.

**Conjecture 1.** For every  $m \times n$  sign pattern matrix  $A$  with  $\text{mr}(A) = k$ , there exists a rational matrix (equivalently, an integer matrix)  $B \in Q(A)$  such that  $\text{rank } B = k$ .

We now give three other statements and prove that these statements are equivalent to this original conjecture.

**Conjecture 2.** For a real matrix  $B = \begin{bmatrix} I_r & C \\ D & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ , where  $r = \text{rank } B$ , there exists a rational matrix  $F$  such that  $\text{sgn}(F) = \text{sgn}(B)$  and  $\text{rank } F = r$ .

**Conjecture 3.** For real matrices  $D$  and  $C$  with  $DC = 0$ , there are rational matrices  $D^*$  and  $C^*$  such that  $\text{sgn}(D^*) = \text{sgn}(D)$ ,  $\text{sgn}(C^*) = \text{sgn}(C)$ , and  $D^*C^* = 0$ .

**Conjecture 4.** For real matrices  $D$ ,  $C$ , and  $E$ , with  $DC = E$ , there are rational matrices  $D^*$ ,  $C^*$ , and  $E^*$  such that  $\text{sgn}(D^*) = \text{sgn}(D)$ ,  $\text{sgn}(C^*) = \text{sgn}(C)$ ,  $\text{sgn}(E^*) = \text{sgn}(E)$ , and  $D^*C^* = E^*$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** The above Conjectures 1–4 are equivalent.

**Proof.** First, assume that Conjecture 1 holds, and consider a real matrix  $B = \begin{bmatrix} I_r & C \\ D & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ , where  $r = \text{rank } B$ . Set  $A := \text{sgn}(B)$  and  $k := \text{mr}(A)$ . We then have  $k \leq r$ . However, from the form of the matrix  $B$ , it is clear that  $r \leq k$ . Hence,  $\text{mr}(A) = r$ , and from Conjecture 1, we have a rational matrix  $F$  such that  $\text{sgn}(F) = \text{sgn}(B)$  and  $\text{rank } F = r$ . We have thus proved the implication Conjecture 1  $\Rightarrow$  Conjecture 2.

Next, observe that the matrix  $B = \begin{bmatrix} I_r & C \\ D & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  is row equivalent to the matrix  $\begin{bmatrix} I_r & C \\ 0 & -DC \end{bmatrix}$ . Hence,  $\text{rank } B = r$  if and only if  $DC = 0$ . Therefore, Conjecture 2  $\Leftrightarrow$  Conjecture 3.

To prove the implication Conjecture 3  $\Rightarrow$  Conjecture 4, assume that Conjecture 3 holds. Consider real matrices  $D$ ,  $C$ , and  $E$ , with  $DC = E$ , and let  $t$  be the number of rows of  $E$ . We have  $DC - E = 0$ , or,

$$\begin{bmatrix} D & I_t \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} C \\ -E \end{bmatrix} = 0.$$

From Conjecture 3, we obtain rational matrices  $\begin{bmatrix} D^* & D_t^* \end{bmatrix}$  and  $\begin{bmatrix} C^* \\ -E^* \end{bmatrix}$  with

$$\begin{bmatrix} D^* & D_t^* \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} C^* \\ -E^* \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

such that  $\text{sgn}(D^*) = \text{sgn}(D)$ ,  $\text{sgn}(C^*) = \text{sgn}(C)$ ,  $\text{sgn}(E^*) = \text{sgn}(E)$ ,  $\text{sgn}(D_t^*) = \text{sgn}(I_t)$ . (that is,  $D_t^*$  is a diagonal matrix with positive diagonal entries). Then,  $D^*C^* - D_t^*E^* = 0$ , or,  $D^*C^* = D_t^*E^*$ . With  $\text{sgn}(D_t^*) = \text{sgn}(I_t)$ , we have  $\text{sgn}(D_t^*E^*) = \text{sgn}(E^*) = \text{sgn}(E)$ . Thus, Conjecture 3  $\Rightarrow$  Conjecture 4.

Finally, to prove the implication Conjecture 4  $\Rightarrow$  Conjecture 1, assume that Conjecture 4 holds. Consider any  $m \times n$  sign pattern matrix  $A$  with  $\text{mr}(A) = k$ . We have a real matrix  $C \in Q(A)$  such that  $\text{rank } C = k$ . Let  $C = LR$  be a full-rank factorization of  $C$ , so that  $L$  and  $R$  have dimensions  $m \times k$ , and  $k \times n$ , respectively, and  $\text{rank } L = \text{rank } R = k$ . From Conjecture 4, we have rational matrices  $C^*$ ,  $L^*$ , and  $R^*$  with  $C^* = L^*R^*$ , where  $L^*$  and  $R^*$  have dimensions  $m \times k$  and  $k \times n$ , respectively, and  $\text{sgn}(C^*) = \text{sgn}(C) = A$ . Now,  $\text{rank } C^* = \text{rank } L^*R^* \leq \text{rank } L^* \leq k$ , that is,  $\text{rank } C^* \leq k$ . But, with  $C^* \in Q(A)$ , and  $\text{mr}(A) = k$ , we get  $\text{rank } C^* \geq k$ . Hence,  $\text{rank } C^* = k$ . Thus, Conjecture 4  $\Rightarrow$  Conjecture 1. ■

As a special case, we can show that Conjecture 3 holds true if  $C$  has one column or  $D$  has one row.

**Proposition 2.2.** For real matrices  $D$  and  $C$  with  $DC = 0$ , where  $C$  has one column or  $D$  has one row, there are rational matrices  $D^*$  and  $C^*$  such that  $\text{sgn}(D^*) = \text{sgn}(D)$ ,  $\text{sgn}(C^*) = \text{sgn}(C)$ , and  $D^*C^* = 0$ .

**Proof.** Suppose  $D$  and  $C$  are real matrices with  $DC = 0$ . Without loss of generality, assume that  $C$  has one column, with say  $n$  entries. We then have

$$c_1d_1 + c_2d_2 + \dots + c_nd_n = 0,$$

where  $d_i$  denotes the  $i^{\text{th}}$  column of  $D$  and  $c_i$  denotes the  $i^{\text{th}}$  entry of  $C$ . So, we can write

$$a_1(|c_1|d_1) + a_2(|c_2|d_2) + \dots + a_n(|c_n|d_n) = 0, \quad \text{where } a_i = \begin{cases} -1 & , c_i < 0 \\ 0 & , c_i = 0 \\ 1 & , c_i > 0 \end{cases}.$$

By inspecting each coordinate separately, we can replace the respective columns ( $|c_i|d_i$ ) by integer vectors to obtain an integer matrix  $D^*$ , along with a  $(1, -1, 0)$ -vector  $C^*$ , such that  $\text{sgn}(D^*) = \text{sgn}(D)$ ,  $\text{sgn}(C^*) = \text{sgn}(C)$ , and  $D^*C^* = 0$ . ■

**Lemma 2.3.** Let  $A$  be an  $m \times n$  sign pattern matrix. Then there exists a rational matrix  $H \in Q(A)$  such that  $\text{rank } H = \text{MR}(A)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $t = \text{MR}(A)$  and  $q = \min\{m, n\}$ . By assigning values of  $q$  or  $-q$  to entries on some generalized diagonal of length  $t$ , while assigning values of 1 or  $-1$  to the other nonzero entries of  $A$ , we obtain an integer matrix  $H \in Q(A)$  with a  $t \times t$  submatrix that has a strictly dominant generalized diagonal. Since this submatrix must be nonsingular,

$$t \leq \text{rank } H \leq \text{MR}(A) = t.$$

Thus,  $\text{rank } H = t$ . ■

**Lemma 2.4.** Let  $A$  be an  $m \times n$  sign pattern matrix, and let  $C$  be a rational matrix in  $Q(A)$ . Then, for each positive integer  $l$  satisfying  $\text{rank } C \leq l \leq \text{MR}(A)$ , there exists a rational matrix  $C_l \in Q(A)$  with  $\text{rank } C_l = l$ .

**Proof.** From Lemma 2.3, we have a rational matrix  $H \in Q(A)$  such that  $\text{rank } H = \text{MR}(A)$ . By successively replacing only one entry of  $C$  by the corresponding entry of  $H$ , we obtain a sequence of rational matrices in  $Q(A)$ ,

$$F_0 = C, F_1, F_2, \dots, F_s = H,$$

where  $F_i$  and  $F_{i-1}$  differ in at most one entry,  $i = 1, \dots, s$ . Hence,  $\text{rank } F_i$  and  $\text{rank } F_{i-1}$  are either the same or differ by 1. It follows that the set  $\{\text{rank } F_i\}$  runs through all the integer values between  $\text{rank } C$  and  $\text{rank } H$ . In particular, there is some  $i$  such that  $\text{rank } F_i = l$ . The matrix  $C_l := F_i$  is rational with  $\text{rank } C_l = l$ , and  $C_l \in Q(A)$ . ■

We can now give two other statements equivalent to Conjecture 1.

**Theorem 2.5.** Let  $A$  be an  $m \times n$  sign pattern matrix. Then, the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) For any  $m \times n$  sign pattern matrix  $A$  with  $\text{mr}(A) = k$ , there exists a rational matrix (equivalently, an integer matrix)  $B \in Q(A)$  such that  $\text{rank } B = k$ .
- (ii) For each positive integer  $l$  such that there exists a matrix  $B \in Q(A)$  with  $\text{rank } B = l$ , there exists a rational matrix  $C \in Q(A)$  with  $\text{rank } C = l$ .
- (iii) For each positive integer  $j$ ,  $\text{mr}(A) \leq j \leq \text{MR}(A)$ , there exists a rational matrix  $C_j \in Q(A)$  with  $\text{rank } C_j = j$ .

**Proof.** Clearly, the implications (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) and (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) hold. Conversely, assume that (i) holds, so that there is a rational matrix in  $Q(A)$  whose rank is  $\text{mr}(A)$ . Then, by Lemma 2.4, the statements (ii) and (iii) are true. ■

### 3. Special Cases

In this section, we first show that the above conjectures are true when  $\text{mr}(A)$  is 1, 2,  $n-1$ , or  $n$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** For any  $m \times n$  sign pattern matrix  $A$  with  $\text{mr}(A) = 1$ , there exists a rational matrix  $B \in Q(A)$  such that  $\text{rank } B = 1$ .

**Proof.** Since  $\text{mr}(A) = 1$ , each nonzero column of  $A$  is a fixed sign pattern vector or its negation. Thus the  $(1, -1, 0)$  matrix  $B \in Q(A)$  has rank 1. ■

**Proposition 3.2.** For any  $m \times n$  sign pattern matrix  $A$  with  $\text{mr}(A) = n$ , there exists a rational matrix  $B \in Q(A)$  such that  $\text{rank } B = n$ .

**Proof.** Since  $\text{mr}(A) = n$ , every matrix in  $Q(A)$  has rank  $n$ , so the result follows. Note that in this case,  $A^T$  is an  $L$ -matrix. ■

**Lemma 3.3.** For any  $m \times n$  sign pattern matrix  $A$  with  $\text{mr}(A) \leq n - 1 \leq \text{MR}(A)$ , there exists a rational matrix  $B \in Q(A)$  such that  $\text{rank } B = n - 1$ .

**Proof.** Since  $\text{mr}(A) \leq n - 1 \leq \text{MR}(A)$ , there is a real matrix  $D \in Q(A)$  with  $\text{rank } D \leq n - 1$ . So, the columns of  $D$  are linearly dependent, and some nonzero linear combination of these columns is zero. Hence,  $DC = 0$  for some nonzero  $n \times 1$  matrix  $C$ . Then, from Proposition 2.2, we have rational matrices  $D^*$  and  $C^*$  such that  $\text{sgn}(D^*) = \text{sgn}(D) = A$ ,  $\text{sgn}(C^*) = \text{sgn}(C)$ , and  $D^*C^* = 0$ . So, some nontrivial linear combination of the columns of  $D^*$  is zero, and hence  $\text{rank } D^* \leq n - 1$ . Thus, from Lemma 2.4, there exists a rational matrix  $B \in Q(A)$  such that  $\text{rank } B = n - 1$ . ■

The following result follows immediately from Lemma 3.3.

**Theorem 3.4.** For any  $m \times n$  sign pattern matrix  $A$  with  $\text{mr}(A) = n - 1$ , there exists a rational matrix  $B \in Q(A)$  such that  $\text{rank } B = n - 1$ .

We are now ready to establish one of the main results of this paper settling the case of minimum rank 2.

**Theorem 3.5.** For any  $m \times n$  sign pattern matrix  $A$  with  $\text{mr}(A) = 2$ , there exists a rational matrix  $B \in Q(A)$  such that  $\text{rank } B = 2$ .

**Proof.** Let  $A = [a_{ij}]$  be an  $m \times n$  sign pattern with  $\text{mr}(A) = 2$ . Then there is a real matrix  $C = [c_{ij}] \in Q(A)$  with  $\text{rank } C = 2$ . Let  $C = LR$  be a full rank factorization of  $C$ , where  $L$  is  $m \times 2$  and  $R$  is  $2 \times n$ . Writing  $L = [u_0 \ u]$  and  $R = \begin{bmatrix} v_0^T \\ v^T \end{bmatrix}$ , we have

$$C = LR = [u_0 \ u] \begin{bmatrix} v_0^T \\ v^T \end{bmatrix} = u_0 v_0^T + uv^T. \quad (*)$$

The minimum rank of a sign pattern is invariant under signatory and permutational equivalence. Hence, by pre- and post-multiplication of the two sides of the above equation by suitable nonsingular diagonal matrices and permutation matrices (and thus replacing  $A$  by

an equivalent sign pattern with minimum rank 2), we may assume that  $u_0$  and  $v_0$  are  $(0, 1)$  matrices and, further, that

$$u_0 v_0^T = \begin{bmatrix} J_{k_1 \times k_2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

for some positive integers  $k_1$  and  $k_2$ , where  $J_{k_1 \times k_2}$  is the all 1's  $k_1 \times k_2$  matrix.

Write  $u = [u_1 \ u_2 \ \dots \ u_m]^T$ ,  $v = [v_1 \ v_2 \ \dots \ v_n]^T$ . In order to construct a rational matrix in the same sign pattern class as  $C \in Q(A)$ , we are going to perturb the entries of  $u$  and  $v$  on the right side of (\*). Thus we introduce  $\tilde{u} = [x_1 \ x_2 \ \dots \ x_m]^T$ ,  $\tilde{v} = [y_1 \ y_2 \ \dots \ y_n]^T$  and treat the entries of  $\tilde{u}$  and  $\tilde{v}$  as variables such that  $\text{sgn}(x_i) = \text{sgn}(u_i)$  for  $1 \leq i \leq m$ , and  $\text{sgn}(y_j) = \text{sgn}(v_j)$  for  $1 \leq j \leq n$ .

Note that some entries of  $u$  or  $v$  may be zero and thus that the corresponding variables  $x_i$  or  $y_j$  must be constantly zero. Also note that if  $i > k_1$  or  $j > k_2$ , then for all real (in particular, rational) values  $x_i$  and  $y_j$  such that  $\text{sgn}(x_i) = \text{sgn}(u_i)$  and  $\text{sgn}(y_j) = \text{sgn}(v_j)$ , the perturbed right side of (\*), namely

$$f(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) = u_0 v_0^T + \tilde{u} \tilde{v}^T = u_0 v_0^T + [x_1 \ x_2 \ \dots \ x_m]^T [y_1 \ y_2 \ \dots \ y_n],$$

has the  $(i, j)$  entry with the same sign as  $c_{ij}$ , since the  $(i, j)$  entry of  $u_0 v_0^T$  is zero and  $\text{sgn}(x_i y_j) = \text{sgn}(u_i v_j) = \text{sgn}(c_{ij}) = a_{ij}$ .

Therefore, we now consider the  $(i, j)$  entries of the perturbed right side of (\*) for  $1 \leq i \leq k_1$  and  $1 \leq j \leq k_2$ ; such entries are equal to  $1 + x_i y_j$ . In order that the perturbed right side of (\*) is still in the sign pattern class of  $A$ , we must have

$$1 + x_i y_j > 0 \quad \text{for all } (i, j) \text{ with } c_{ij} > 0; \tag{1}$$

$$1 + x_i y_j < 0 \quad \text{for all } (i, j) \text{ with } c_{ij} < 0; \tag{2}$$

$$1 + x_i y_j = 0 \quad \text{for all } (i, j) \text{ with } c_{ij} = 0. \tag{3}$$

Observe that  $\text{rank } f(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) \leq 2$ , since  $f(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v})$  is the sum of two rank one matrices. Hence, if  $f(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v})$  is in  $Q(A)$ , we must have  $\text{rank } f(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) = 2$ , since  $\text{mr}(A) = 2$ . Therefore, to complete the proof, it suffices to construct a rational matrix  $f(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v})$  in  $Q(A)$ .

Of course, if  $[x_1 \ \dots \ x_m] = u^T$  and  $[y_1 \ \dots \ y_n] = v^T$ , then all the conditions (1)–(3) are satisfied. Note that by continuity, there is a positive number  $\epsilon$  such that all the inequalities in the conditions (1) or (2) remain valid as long as the values of the nonzero  $x_i$  (respectively,  $y_j$ ) are in neighborhoods of  $u_i$  (respectively,  $v_j$ ) of radius  $\epsilon$ , for all  $i$  and  $j$ . We may further assume that  $\epsilon$  is less than the minimum absolute value of the nonzero entries of  $u = [u_1 \ u_2 \ \dots \ u_m]^T$  and  $v = [v_1 \ v_2 \ \dots \ v_n]^T$ . Thus it remains to find a suitable rational solution of the system of equations (3), so that each  $x_i$  (respectively,  $y_j$ ) is in an  $\epsilon$ -neighborhood of  $u_i$  (respectively,  $v_j$ ). In case the system (3) is empty, we think of it to be satisfied by all values of the variables. Thus we may assume that (3) is nonempty. To find a desired rational solution to the system of equations (3), we consider the bipartite graph  $G$  with vertex set  $\{1, 2, \dots, k_1\} \cup \{1', 2', \dots, k_2'\}$  such that  $(i, j')$  is an edge iff  $1 + x_i y_j = 0$  is in the system (3), or equivalently, iff  $c_{ij} = 0$ . Since  $1 + x_i y_j = 0$  can be written as  $x_i y_j = -1$ , or  $y_j = -1/x_i$ ,

it is clear that if  $i_1$  and  $i_2$  have a common neighbor in  $G$ , then  $x_{i_1} = x_{i_2}$ . Similarly, if  $j'_1$  and  $j'_2$  have a common neighbor in  $G$ , then  $y_{j_1} = y_{j_2}$ . Thus we identify  $x_{i_1}$  with  $x_{i_2}$  iff  $i_1$  and  $i_2$  have a common neighbor in  $G$ . Similarly, we identify  $y_{j_1}$  with  $y_{j_2}$  iff  $j'_1$  and  $j'_2$  have a common neighbor in  $G$ . Such identifications correspond to contractions of vertices in  $G$ : two vertices are replaced by one iff they have a common neighbor. After all such variable identifications, the system (3) is reduced to a system ( $\hat{3}$ ) of independent equations, in which each variable occurs in at most one equation. Suppose that  $1 + x_i y_j = 0$  is in ( $\hat{3}$ ). Then  $y_j = -1/x_i$ , so the value of  $y_j$  is determined by that of  $x_i$ . Further,  $1 + u_i v_j = 0$  and there is a positive number  $\delta_i < \epsilon$  such that whenever  $x_i$  is in the  $\delta_i$ -neighborhood of  $u_i$ , then  $y_j = -1/x_i$  is in the  $\epsilon$ -neighborhood of  $v_j$ . Let  $1 + x_{i_1} y_{j_1} = 0, \dots, 1 + x_{i_t} y_{j_t} = 0$  be the equations in ( $\hat{3}$ ).

Let  $x_{i_k}$  be a rational number in the  $\delta_{i_k}$ -neighborhood of  $u_{i_k}$ , for  $1 \leq k \leq t$ . Let  $y_{j_k} = -1/x_{i_k}$ , for  $1 \leq k \leq t$ . Set the other variables occurring in (3) through identifications mentioned above. For all the remaining nonzero  $x_i$  (corresponding to nonzero  $u_i$ ), let  $x_i$  be any rational number in the  $\epsilon$ -neighborhood of  $u_i$ . Similarly, for all the remaining nonzero  $y_j$  (corresponding to nonzero  $v_j$ ), let  $y_j$  be any rational number in the  $\epsilon$ -neighborhood of  $v_j$ . It is clear that with such choices of the values of the variables, all the conditions in (1)–(3) are satisfied and we arrive at a rational matrix  $B = f(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v})$  in  $Q(A)$ , which completes the proof. ■

From the above results, we can now see that our original conjecture holds true if  $A$  has no more than four rows or no more than four columns.

**Corollary 3.6.** For any  $m \times n$  sign pattern matrix  $A$ , where  $m \leq 4$  or  $n \leq 4$ , there exists a rational matrix  $B \in Q(A)$  such that  $\text{rank } B = \text{mr}(A)$ .

For a sign pattern  $A$  which admits a matrix  $B \in Q(A)$  with a certain structure, we can show that the original conjecture holds.

**Theorem 3.7.** If  $B = \begin{bmatrix} B_1 & B_2 \\ B_3 & B_4 \end{bmatrix}$ , where  $B_1$  is  $r \times r$ ,  $\text{rank } B = \text{rank } B_1 = r$ , and  $B_4$  is entrywise nonzero, then there is a rational matrix  $F$  such that  $\text{sgn}(F) = \text{sgn}(B)$  and  $\text{rank}(F) = r$ .

**Proof.** Observe that the matrix  $B$  is row equivalent to the matrix  $\begin{bmatrix} B_1 & B_2 \\ 0 & B_4 - B_3 B_1^{-1} B_2 \end{bmatrix}$ . Now,  $\text{rank } B = r$  implies that  $B_4 = B_3 B_1^{-1} B_2$ . Since each entry of  $B_3 B_1^{-1} B_2$  depends continuously on the entries of  $B_1, B_2$  and  $B_3$ , and  $B_4 = B_3 B_1^{-1} B_2$  is entrywise nonzero, there is a positive number  $\epsilon$  (less than the smallest absolute value of the nonzero entries of  $B_1, B_2$  and  $B_3$ ) such that if the nonzero entries of  $B_1, B_2$  and  $B_3$  are perturbed within  $\epsilon$ -neighborhoods of their original values, then any resulting perturbed matrices  $\tilde{B}_1, \tilde{B}_2$  and  $\tilde{B}_3$  satisfy that  $\tilde{B}_1$  is invertible,  $\text{sgn}(\tilde{B}_i) = \text{sgn}(B_i)$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 3$ , and  $\text{sgn}(\tilde{B}_3 \tilde{B}_1^{-1} \tilde{B}_2) = \text{sgn}(B_4)$ . We may choose  $\tilde{B}_1, \tilde{B}_2$  and  $\tilde{B}_3$  to be rational perturbations of  $B_1, B_2$  and  $B_3$ , respectively, such that each nonzero entry is within the  $\epsilon$ -neighborhood of the original value.

Then  $F = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{B}_1 & \tilde{B}_2 \\ \tilde{B}_3 & \tilde{B}_3 \tilde{B}_1^{-1} \tilde{B}_2 \end{bmatrix}$  is a rational matrix with  $\text{sgn}(F) = \text{sgn}(B)$  and  $\text{rank } F = r$ .  
 ■

As a consequence of Theorem 3.7, we have

**Theorem 3.8.** If  $A$  is an entrywise nonzero sign pattern, then there is a rational matrix  $F \in Q(A)$  such that  $\text{rank } F = \text{mr}(A)$ .

Of course, an important question is what happens when the matrix  $B_4$  in Theorem 3.7 has some zero entries.

#### 4. Partial Results

In this section, we give some partial results concerning the four conjectures posed in Section 2. In particular, we show that Conjecture 3 is true when one of the two matrices  $D$  or  $C$  is a rational matrix. To this end, we first establish the following fundamental useful result.

**Theorem 4.1.** Let  $V$  be a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . If  $V$  has a rational basis and  $V$  contains a positive vector, then  $V$  contains a positive rational vector.

**Proof.** Let  $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k\}$  be a rational basis for  $V$  and suppose that there is a positive vector  $x_0 \in V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ . Now,  $x_0 = c_1 v_1 + c_2 v_2 + \dots + c_k v_k$  for some real scalars  $c_i$ . For each  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , choose a rational number  $t_i$  within an  $\epsilon$ -neighborhood of  $c_i$ , for some sufficiently small constant  $\epsilon > 0$  so that  $x = t_1 v_1 + t_2 v_2 + \dots + t_k v_k$  is a positive, rational vector in  $V$ .  
 ■

**Corollary 4.2** Suppose that  $D$  is a rational matrix and  $x$  is a real vector such that  $Dx = 0$ . Then there is a rational vector  $x^*$  such that  $\text{sgn}(x^*) = \text{sgn}(x)$  and  $Dx^* = 0$ .

**Proof.** Since  $Dx = 0$ ,  $x \in N(D)$ , the null space of  $D$ . If  $x = 0$ , then the result is clearly true. If  $x$  has some nonpositive coordinates, we can delete or negate entries of  $x$  and the corresponding columns of  $D$  so that  $Dx = 0$  and  $x > 0$ . Hence, we may assume that  $x > 0$ . Since  $D$  is a rational matrix,  $N(D)$  has a rational basis. By Theorem 4.1, there is a positive rational vector  $x^* \in N(D)$ , and thus  $Dx^* = 0$ . ■

**Proposition 4.3.** Let  $D$  be a rational matrix and let  $C$  be a real matrix such that  $DC = 0$ . Then there is a rational matrix  $C^*$  such that  $\text{sgn}(C^*) = \text{sgn}(C)$  and  $DC^* = 0$ .

**Proof.** Apply Corollary 4.2 to the columns of  $C$ . ■

It is easy to see that any subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  that has a rational basis can be viewed as the null space of a rational matrix. Hence, Corollary 4.2 may be used to obtain the following generalization (which is of independent interest) of Theorem 4.1.

**Theorem 4.4.** Let  $V$  be a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . If  $V$  has a rational basis and  $x \in V$ , then  $V$  contains a rational vector  $x^*$  such that  $\text{sgn}(x^*) = \text{sgn}(x)$ .

We now consider another special situation for which Conjecture 3 holds.

**Proposition 4.5.** Suppose that  $D$  and  $C$  are real matrices such that  $DC = 0$ . If

$$\min\{\text{rank } D, \text{rank } C\} \leq 1,$$

then there are rational matrices  $C^*$  and  $D^*$  such that  $\text{sgn}(C^*) = \text{sgn}(C)$ ,  $\text{sgn}(D^*) = \text{sgn}(D)$  and  $D^*C^* = 0$ .

**Proof.** If  $\text{rank } D$  or  $\text{rank } C$  is zero, then the result is clearly true. Next, without loss of generality, assume that  $\text{rank } C = 1$ . Let  $v$  be a nonzero column of  $C$ . Then, any other nonzero column of  $C$  is a positive or negative multiple of  $v$ . Hence, we may assume that  $C$  has one (nonzero) column. The result then follows from Proposition 2.2. ■

**Corollary 4.6.** Suppose that  $D$  and  $C$  are real matrices such that  $DC = 0$ . If  $D$  has dimensions  $m \times k$  where  $k \leq 3$ , then there are rational matrices  $C^*$  and  $D^*$  such that  $\text{sgn}(C^*) = \text{sgn}(C)$ ,  $\text{sgn}(D^*) = \text{sgn}(D)$  and  $D^*C^* = 0$ .

**Proof.** Since the row space of  $D$  is orthogonal to the column space of  $C$ , we have

$$\text{rank } D + \text{rank } C \leq k \leq 3.$$

Hence,

$$\min\{\text{rank } D, \text{rank } C\} \leq 1,$$

and the result follows from Proposition 4.5. ■

**Corollary 4.7.** Suppose that  $D$  and  $C$  are real matrices such that  $DC = 0$ . If  $D$  has dimensions  $m \times k$  and

$$\max\{\text{rank } D, \text{rank } C\} \geq k - 1,$$

then there are rational matrices  $C^*$  and  $D^*$  such that  $\text{sgn}(C^*) = \text{sgn}(C)$ ,  $\text{sgn}(D^*) = \text{sgn}(D)$  and  $D^*C^* = 0$ .

**Proof.** The inequality

$$\max\{\text{rank } D, \text{rank } C\} \geq k - 1,$$

forces the inequality

$$\min\{\text{rank } D, \text{rank } C\} \leq 1.$$

Hence, we may again use Proposition 4.5 to obtain our result. ■

## 5. Connections with Systems of Polynomial Equations

Suppose that  $D$  and  $C$  are real matrices such that  $DC = 0$ , as in Conjecture 3. By representing the positive entries of  $D$  and  $C$  by some independent variables (indeterminates) and representing the negative entries of  $D$  and  $C$  by the negatives of some other independent variables, we obtain a matrix equation  $\tilde{D}\tilde{C} = 0$ . For example, starting with

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \sqrt{2} & -1 \\ 1 & -3 & -\sqrt{2} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 1 & 1 \\ -\sqrt{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

we arrive at the following matrix equation

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & -x_4 \\ x_5 & -x_6 & -x_7 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_1 & y_2 \\ y_3 & y_4 \\ -y_5 & 0 \\ 0 & y_6 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

By comparing the corresponding entries of the two sides of the above equation, we get a system of homogeneous quadratic polynomial equations in the variables where each coefficient is either  $-1$  or  $1$ . The assumptions on  $D$  and  $C$  imply that this system has a positive solution (a solution with all the variables positive). Conjecture 3 amounts to saying that every such system of homogeneous quadratic polynomial equations has a positive rational solution.

By allowing  $D$  and  $C$  in the matrix equation  $DC = 0$  to be generic matrices, each of whose nonzero entries is represented by a distinct variable or the negative of a distinct variable, we arrive at an equivalent, polynomial version of Conjecture 3:

**Conjecture 5.** Let  $D_{m \times k}, C_{k \times n}$  be matrices each of whose nonzero entries is represented by a distinct variable or the negative of a distinct variable. If the system of homogeneous quadratic equations arising from  $DC = 0$  has a positive solution, then it has a positive rational solution.

A natural, more general question is:

**Question 5.1.** Given a system of homogeneous quadratic polynomial equations where each nonzero term involves the product of two distinct variables and each coefficient in every equation is either  $-1$  or  $1$ . Suppose that the system has a positive solution. Does it necessarily have a positive rational solution?

Obviously, if the answer to Question 5.1 is yes, then Conjecture 5, and hence Conjecture 3, is true. However, as the following examples show, the answer to Question 5.1 turns out to be negative.

**Example 5.2.** The system of homogeneous quadratic polynomial equations

$$xy + xz - yw = 0 \tag{1}$$

$$xw + yz - zw = 0 \tag{2}$$

$$yz - xz - yw = 0 \tag{3}$$

has a positive solution. But it does not have any positive rational solution.

**Proof.** Consider any nontrivial solution of the system with  $y \neq 0$ . Since the system is homogeneous, then by dividing the value of each variable by the value of  $y$ , we get a solution with  $y = 1$ . Thus, without loss of generality, we assume that  $y = 1$ . Substituting 1 for  $y$  in the equations (1)–(3), we obtain

$$x + xz - w = 0 \tag{4}$$

$$xw + z - zw = 0 \tag{5}$$

$$z - xz - w = 0 \tag{6}$$

From equations (4) and (6), we have  $x - z + 2xz = 0$ , or  $z = \frac{x}{1-2x}$ . Substituting  $w = x + xz$  (obtained from (4)) into (5), we get

$$x(x + xz) + z - z(x + xz) = 0, \text{ namely,}$$

$$x^2 + x^2z + z - xz - xz^2 = 0 \tag{7}$$

By substituting  $z = \frac{x}{1-2x}$  into (7) and simplifying the resulting equation, we obtain

$$x(2x^3 - 2x^2 - 2x + 1) = 0. \tag{8}$$

Hence, every solution of the system with  $y = 1$  is given by

$$(x, y, z, w) = \left( x, 1, \frac{x}{1-2x}, \frac{x(1-x)}{1-2x} \right),$$

where  $x$  satisfies (8). Such a solution is positive if and only if  $0 < x < 1/2$ . By Intermediate Value Theorem, (8) has a solution in the open interval  $(0, 1/2)$ , which yields a positive solution of the homogeneous system. However, it can be easily verified that (8) has no rational solution in the open interval  $(0, 1/2)$ , and hence, the homogeneous system has no positive rational solution with  $y = 1$ . It follows that the homogeneous system has no positive rational solution. ■

Note that a system of homogeneous quadratic polynomial equations that can arise from a matrix equation of the form  $DC = 0$  is quite restrictive. In particular, such a system must satisfy that

- (i) each coefficient in any equation is either  $-1$  or  $1$ ,

- (ii) each nonzero term in any equation involves the product of two distinct variables,
- (iii) each variable can occur in at most one term of any of the equations in the system, and
- (iv) the set of variables may be partitioned into  $X \cup Y$  such that each term in any equation involves a product of a variable in  $X$  and a variable in  $Y$ .

Since the system in Example 5.2 does not satisfy (iii), it can not arise from a matrix equation  $DC = 0$ .

If only positive solutions are concerned, a system of homogeneous quadratic polynomial equations with some square terms can be transformed into an equivalent system of homogeneous quadratic polynomial equations without square terms. For instance, a square term  $x^2$  may be replaced by  $xx_1$  after adding an equation such as  $y(x - x_1) = 0$ . We illustrate this idea with the following example, which also provides a simpler example than Example 5.2.

**Example 5.3.** If only the positive solutions are concerned, the system of homogeneous quadratic polynomial equations

$$x^2 - y^2 = 0 \tag{9}$$

$$x^2 + y^2 - z^2 = 0 \tag{10}$$

is equivalent to the following system of homogeneous quadratic polynomial equations without square terms

$$xx_1 - yy_1 = 0 \tag{11}$$

$$xx_1 + yy_1 - zz_1 = 0 \tag{12}$$

$$y(x - x_1) = 0 \tag{13}$$

$$z(y - y_1) = 0 \tag{14}$$

$$x(z - z_1) = 0 \tag{15}$$

Furthermore, it is easy to see that the system (9)-(10) (and hence, the system (11)-(15)) has a positive solution, but it does not have a positive rational solution. ■

Note that the system (11)-(15) can not arise from a matrix equation  $DC = 0$ , since condition (iii) is not satisfied.

It is clear that one homogeneous quadratic polynomial equation satisfying the conditions (i)-(iv) can arise from  $DC = 0$  with  $D_{1 \times k}$  and  $C_{k \times 1}$ . Therefore, by Proposition 2.2, such an equation has a positive rational solution if and only if it has a positive solution.

Of course, a system of homogeneous quadratic polynomial equations in standard form with a positive solution must satisfy the condition:

- (v) each equation contains a positive term and a negative term.

It is apparent that to have a system of homogeneous quadratic polynomial equations satisfying (i)–(v), the number of variables must be at least 4. In fact, in the case of 4 or 5 variables (denoted  $x_1, \dots, x_5$ ), every equation of a system of homogeneous quadratic polynomial equations satisfying (i)–(v) must be of the form  $x_i x_j - x_k x_l = 0$ , and hence, setting all the variables to be 1 yields a positive rational solution.

## Acknowledgment

The authors would like to thank the referee for very helpful suggestions and Drs. Florian Enescu, Carlos D’Andrea, and Jia-yu Shao for valuable discussions leading to Examples 5.2 and 5.3.

## References

- [1] N. Alon and V. D. Milman,  $\lambda_1$ , isoperimetric inequalities for graphs, and superconcentrators, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **38** (1985), no. 1, 73–88.
- [2] W. Barrett, H. van der Holst, and R. Loewy, Graphs whose minimal rank is two, *Electronic Journal of Linear Algebra* **11** (2004), 258–280.
- [3] R. A. Brualdi and B.L. Shader, *Matrices of Sign-Solvable Linear Systems*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [4] G. Chen, F. J. Hall, Z. Li, and B. Wei, On ranks of matrices associated with trees, *Graphs and Combinatorics* **19** (2003), 323–334.
- [5] P. Delsarte and Y. Kamp, Low rank matrices with a given sign pattern, *SIAM J. Discrete Math.* **2** (1989), 51–63.
- [6] F. J. Hall, Z. Li, and B. Rao, From Boolean to sign pattern matrices, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **393** (2004), 232–251.
- [7] D. Hershkowitz and H. Schneider, Ranks of zero patterns and sign patterns, *Linear and Multilinear Algebra* **34** (1993), no. 1, 3–19.
- [8] L. Hogben, Spectral graph theory and the inverse eigenvalue problem of a graph, *Electronic Journal of Linear Algebra* **14** (2005), 12–31.
- [9] C. R. Johnson, Some outstanding problems in the theory of matrices, *Linear and Multilinear Algebra* **12** (1982), 99–108.
- [10] C. R. Johnson and A. L. Duarte, The maximum multiplicity of an eigenvalue in a matrix whose graph is a tree, *Linear and Multilinear Algebra* **46** (1999), 139–144.
- [11] P. M. Nylén, Minimum rank matrices with prescribed graph, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **248**(1996), 303–316.