

SOLUTIONS PROBLEM SET # 5

1. WE DENOTE THE SYMMETRIZATIONS OF α AND β RESPECTIVELY BE α^* AND β^* . SO $\alpha^* = \alpha \cap \check{\alpha}$ AND $\beta^* = \beta \cap \check{\beta}$.

THIS $\underline{A} \leq / \beta = \langle \underline{A} / \beta^*, \beta / \beta^* \rangle$ AND

$$\alpha / \beta = \alpha / \beta^* = \{ \langle a / \beta^*, e / \beta^* \rangle : a \alpha e \}$$

WE FIRST PROVE THAT $\forall a, a', e, e' \in A$

$$(*) \quad a' \alpha e', a / \beta^* = a' / \beta^*, \text{ AND } e / \beta^* = e' / \beta^* \Rightarrow a \alpha e.$$

(SINCE $\beta \subseteq \alpha$, $a / \beta^* = a' / \beta^* \Rightarrow a \beta a' \Rightarrow a \alpha a'$. SIMILARLY, $e / \beta^* = e' / \beta^* \Rightarrow e \beta e' \Rightarrow e' \alpha e$. THUS $a \alpha a' \alpha e' \alpha e$, SO $a \alpha e$).

FROM (*) WE GET

$$(**) \quad a / \beta^* (\alpha / \beta) e / \beta^* \Rightarrow a \alpha e.$$

($a / \beta^* (\alpha / \beta) e / \beta^* \Rightarrow \exists a', e' \in A$ S.T.

$a' \alpha e'$, $a / \beta^* = a' / \beta^*$, AND $e / \beta^* = e' / \beta^*$. SO $a \alpha e$ BY (*).

WE NOW SHOW THAT α / β IS A QUASI-ORDER OF $\underline{A} \leq / \beta$.

REFLEXIVITY: $a / \beta^* (\alpha / \beta) a / \beta^*$ BECAUSE $a \alpha a$.

TRANSITIVITY.

$$a/\beta^* (\alpha/\beta) b/\beta^* \text{ AND } b/\beta^* (\alpha/\beta) c/\beta^* \stackrel{(**)}{\implies} \\ a \alpha b \alpha c \implies a \alpha c \implies a/\beta^* (\alpha/\beta^*) c/\beta^*$$

SUBSTITUTION.

$$\forall i \leq m (a_i/\beta^* (\alpha/\beta) b_i/\beta^*) \stackrel{(**)}{\implies} \\ \forall i \leq m (a_i \alpha b_i) \implies \sigma^A(\bar{a}) \alpha \sigma^A(\bar{b}) \\ \implies \sigma^{A/\beta^*}(a_1/\beta^*, \dots, a_m/\beta^*) \alpha/\beta \sigma^{A/\beta^*}(b_1/\beta^*, \dots, b_m/\beta^*)$$

$\beta \subseteq \alpha \implies \beta/\beta^* \subseteq \alpha/\beta^* = \alpha/\beta$ so
 α/β IS A QUASI-ORDER OF \underline{A}^\leq/β .

SINCE $\beta \subseteq \alpha$, BY THE ORDERED HOMOMORPHISM THEOREM \exists $h: \underline{A}^\leq/\beta \rightarrow \underline{A}^\leq/\alpha$ SUCH THAT $h(a/\beta^*) = a/\alpha^* \quad \forall a \in A$.

$$\langle a/\beta^*, b/\beta^* \rangle \in h^{-1}(\alpha/\alpha^*) \\ \iff h(a/\beta^*) (\alpha/\alpha^*) h(b/\beta^*) \\ \iff a/\alpha^* (\alpha/\alpha^*) b/\alpha^* \\ \stackrel{(*)}{\iff} a \alpha b \\ \iff a/\beta^* (\alpha/\beta^*) b/\beta^*$$

SO $h^{-1}(\alpha/\alpha^*) = \alpha/\beta^* = \alpha/\beta$

SO BY THE ORDER ISOMORPHISM THEOREM

$$\underline{A}^\leq/\alpha \cong (\underline{A}^\leq/\beta)/(\alpha/\beta)$$

2. LET $\underline{h}: \underline{A} \leq \rightarrow \underline{B} \leq$ BE AN ORDER HOMOMORPHISM. LET $\underline{C} = \underline{h}(\underline{A}) \subseteq \underline{B}$ AND LET $\underline{C} \leq = (\underline{C}, \leq^{\underline{B}} \cap \underline{C}^2)$. THEN $\underline{C} \leq$ IS AN ORDERED SUBALGEBRA OF $\underline{B} \leq$. LET $\underline{h}^*: \underline{A} \rightarrow \underline{C}$ BE THE SURJECTIVE HOMOMORPHISM WITH THE SAME GRAPH AS \underline{h} . SINCE \underline{h} IS AN ORDER HOMOMORPHISM,

$$a \leq^{\underline{A}} a' \Rightarrow \underline{h}(a) \leq^{\underline{B}} \underline{h}(a') \Rightarrow \underline{h}^*(a) (\leq^{\underline{B}} \cap \underline{C}^2) \underline{h}^*(a')$$

SO $\underline{h}^*: \underline{A} \leq \rightarrow \underline{C} \leq$ IS A SURJECTIVE ORDER HOMOMORPHISM.

$$\underline{h}^*(a) \leq^{\underline{C}} \underline{h}^*(a') \Leftrightarrow \underline{h}(a) \leq^{\underline{B}} \underline{h}(a')$$

$$\text{SO } \underline{h}^{*-1}(\leq^{\underline{C}}) = \underline{h}^{-1}(\leq^{\underline{B}}) = \underline{A}$$

SO BY THE ORDERED ISOMORPHISM THM $\underline{A} \leq / \underline{A} \cong \underline{C} \leq$.

3. LET E BE THE SET OF INEQUALITIES

$$\begin{aligned}
 (*) \quad & (x+y)+z \preceq x+(y+z), \quad (x+y)+z \preceq x+(y+z) \\
 & x+y \preceq y+z, \quad y+x \preceq x+y \\
 & (x \cdot y) \cdot z \preceq x \cdot (y \cdot z), \quad x \cdot (y \cdot z) \preceq (x \cdot y) \cdot z \\
 & x \cdot (y+z) \preceq x \cdot y + x \cdot z, \quad x \cdot y + x \cdot z \preceq x \cdot (y+z) \\
 & (y+z) \cdot x \preceq y \cdot x + z \cdot x, \quad y \cdot x + z \cdot x \preceq (y+z) \cdot x
 \end{aligned}$$

LET $K = \text{MOD}(E)$ AND LET $\underline{A} \in K$. BY DEFINITION OF MODEL \leq IS A PARTIAL ORDERING OF A WITH THE SUBSTITUTION PROPERTY

BY (*) , $\forall a, b, c \in A$, WE HAVE $(a +^A b) +^A c \leq^A c +^A (b +^A a)$ AND $c +^A (b +^A a) \leq^A (a +^A b) +^A c$. SO $(a +^A b) +^A c = c +^A (b +^A a)$ SINCE \leq^A IS A PARTIAL ORDERING.

SO $+^A$ IS ASSOCIATIVE. SIMILARLY, $+^A$ IS COMMUTATIVE, \cdot^A IS ASSOCIATIVE, AND \cdot^A DISTRIBUTES OVER $+^A$. THUS

$\underline{A} \in K$ IS A PARTIALLY ORDERED SEMIRING.

CONVERSELY, IF $\underline{A} \in K$ IS A PARTIALLY ORDERED SEMIRING, THEN $\underline{A} \in \text{MOD}(E)$.

4. LET $\underline{A} \in V$, AND CONSIDER THE MAPPING $\alpha \mapsto \alpha \cap \alpha^u = \alpha^*$ FROM $\text{QORD}(\underline{A} \in)$ INTO $\text{CO}(A)$. ASSUME V IS ALGEBRAIZABLE. LET $\alpha \in \text{QORD}(\underline{A} \in)$

CLAIM: $\forall a, b \in A$

$$\langle a, b \rangle \in \alpha \iff \forall i \leq m (t_u^A(a, b) \alpha^* \wedge i^A(a, b))$$

PROOF.

$$\langle a, b \rangle \in \alpha \iff \langle a/\alpha^*, b/\alpha^* \rangle \in \alpha/\alpha^*$$

\Leftrightarrow (SINCE $A \neq \emptyset \in \mathcal{V}$) $\tau_u^{A/d^*}(a/d^*, b/d^*) (d/d^*) \tau_u^{A/d^*}(a/d^*, b/d^*)$ AND $\tau_u^{A/d^*}(a/d^*, b/d^*) (d/d^*) \tau_u^{A/d^*}(a/d^*, b/d^*)$

$\Leftrightarrow \tau_u^A(a, b) / d^* (d/d^*) \tau_u^A(a, b) / d^*$ AND $\tau_u^A(a, b) / d^* (d/d^*) \tau_u^A(a, b) / d^*$

\Leftrightarrow (LEM 7.4) $\tau_u^A(a, b) \neq \tau_u^A(a, b)$ AND $\tau_u^A(a, b) \neq \tau_u^A(a, b)$

$\Leftrightarrow \tau_u^A(a, b) \neq \tau_u^A(a, b) \quad \forall u \leq m$

CLAIM

SUPPOSE $\alpha \cap \alpha = \beta \cap \beta$. BY CLAIM $\alpha = \beta$. SO THE MAPPING $\alpha \upharpoonright \alpha \cap \alpha$ IS INJECTIVE

LET $\omega^{\Delta\omega} = \langle \langle \omega, +, \cdot \rangle, \Delta\omega \rangle$ WHERE $\langle \omega, +, \cdot \rangle$ IS THE SEMIRING OF NATURAL NUMBERS. THEN $\omega^{\Delta\omega}$ IS A PARTIALLY ORDERED SEMIRING. LET \leq BE THE STANDARD ORDER ON THE INTEGERS. THEN $\alpha = \leq$ AND $\beta = \succcurlyeq = \alpha^u$ ARE DISTINCT QUASI-ORDERS OF $\omega^{\Delta\omega}$ SUCH THAT $\alpha \cap \alpha = \beta \cap \beta = \Delta\omega$. SO THE ORDERED VARIETY OF PARTIALLY ORDERED SEMIRINGS IS NOT ALGEBRIZABLE BY PROBLEM 3

5. ASSUME V IS ALGEBRAIZABLE; LET
 $\varphi: \underline{A} \leq \rightarrow \underline{B} \leq$ BE AN ORDER HOMOMORPHISM.
 IF φ IS AN ORDER ISOMORPHISM, THEN
 φ IS A BIVECTOR BY DEFINITION. ASSUME
 IT IS A BIVECTOR. TO SHOW φ IS AN
 ORDER ISOMORPHISM IT SUFFICE TO SHOW
 THAT $\varphi^{-1}: \underline{B} \geq \rightarrow \underline{A} \geq$ IS ORDER-PRESERVING.

(I.E., $\forall a, a' \in A$ ($\varphi(a) \leq^B \varphi(a') \Rightarrow a \leq^A a'$))

SUPPOSE NOT. THEN $\exists a, a' \in A$ S.T.

$a \not\leq^A a'$ BUT $\varphi(a) \leq^B \varphi(a')$, (I.E.,

$\langle a, a' \rangle \in \varphi^{-1}(\leq^B) \setminus \leq^A$. SO $\varphi^{-1}(\leq^B)$

AND \leq^A ARE DISTINCT QUASI-ORDERS ON

$A \leq$ AND $\varphi^{-1}(\leq^B) \cap \varphi^{-1}(\geq^B) =$

$\varphi^{-1}(\leq^B \cap \geq^B) = \varphi^{-1}(\Delta_B) \stackrel{\uparrow}{=} \Delta_A =$

$\leq^A \cap \geq^A$.

↑ SINCE
 φ IS INJECTIVE

SINCE V IS ALGEBRAIZABLE, THIS IS
 IMPOSSIBLE BY PROBLEM 4.

LET $\underline{\omega}^{\Delta_{\omega}}$ AND $\underline{\omega}^{\leq}$ BE THE PARTIALLY
 ORDERED SEMIRINGS OF NATURAL NUMBERS
 WITH THE IDENTITY ORDER Δ_{ω} AND NATURAL
 ORDER \leq) RESPECTIVELY. THEN THE
 IDENTITY $\Delta_{\omega}: \underline{\omega}^{\Delta_{\omega}} \rightarrow \underline{\omega}^{\leq}$ IS A BIJECTIVE
 ORDER HOMOMORPHISM THAT IS NOT AN
 ORDER ISOMORPHISM.