

SOLUTIONS PROBLEM SET #1.

1.

1. ASSUME V IS NONTRIVIAL. LET $\underline{F} = \coprod_{\xi < \kappa} \underline{F}_\xi$.
 LET $\pi_\xi : \underline{F}_\xi \rightarrow \underline{F}$ BE THE COPROJECTION
 FOR EACH $\xi < \kappa$. BY DEFINITION OF
 COPRODUCT, $\underline{F} \in V$.

FOR EACH $\xi < \kappa$, LET $\bar{x}_\xi = \pi_\xi(x_0^y)$.

CLAIM. $\bar{x}_\xi \neq \bar{x}_\eta$ FOR ALL $\xi, \eta < \kappa$ SUCH THAT $\xi \neq \eta$.

PROOF OF CLAIM. LET \underline{A} BE A NONTRIVIAL
 ALGEBRA OF V , AND LET $a, \emptyset \in \underline{A}$ WITH
 $a \neq \emptyset$. FOR EACH $\xi < \kappa$, LET $j_\xi : \underline{F}_\xi \rightarrow \underline{A}$
 BE THE (UNIQUE) HOMOMORPHISM SUCH
 THAT $j_\xi(x_0^y) = \begin{cases} a & \text{IF } \xi = \zeta \\ \emptyset & \text{IF } \xi \neq \zeta \end{cases}$. BY THE

COPRODUCT PROPERTY $\exists j : \underline{F} \rightarrow \underline{A}$ SUCH
 THAT $j_\xi = j \circ \pi_\xi \forall \xi < \kappa$. IN PARTICULAR,
 $j(\bar{x}_\xi) = j(\pi_\xi(x_0^y)) = j_\xi(x_0^y) = a \neq \emptyset =$
 $j(\bar{x}_\eta)$. SO $\bar{x}_\xi \neq \bar{x}_\eta$ \square CLAIM.

LET $\bar{X}_{\kappa} = \{\bar{x}_\xi : \xi < \kappa\}$. BY THE CLAIM
 $|\bar{X}_{\kappa}| = \kappa$. AND $\text{Sg}^{\underline{F}}(\bar{X}_{\kappa}) = \text{Sg}^{\underline{F}}(\cup_{\xi < \kappa} \text{Sg}^{\underline{F}}(\bar{x}_\xi)) =$
 $\text{Sg}^{\underline{F}}(\cup_{\xi < \kappa} \pi_\xi(\underline{F}_\xi)) = \underline{F}$, ACCORDING TO DEFINITION
 OF THE COPRODUCT. SO \bar{X}_{κ} GENERATES
 \underline{F} . TO SHOW $\underline{F} \in \text{F}_{\cup_{\kappa}}(V)$ IT THUS SUFFICES
 TO SHOW THAT \underline{F} HAS THE UNIVERSAL
 MAPPING PROPERTY OVER V WRT \bar{X}_{κ} .

LET $\underline{A} \in \mathcal{V}$ AND LET $h: \bar{X}_K \rightarrow \underline{A}$.
 $\exists \xi < K \exists h_\xi: \underline{F}_\xi \rightarrow \underline{A}$ SUCH THAT
 $h_\xi(x_0^y) = h(\bar{x}_\xi)$. SO BY THE COPRODUCT
 PROPERTY $\exists h^*: \underline{F} \rightarrow \underline{A}$ SUCH THAT
 $h_\xi = h^* \circ \perp_\xi$ FOR EACH $\xi < K$. THEN
 $h^*(\bar{x}_\xi) = h^*(\perp_\xi(x_0^y)) = h_\xi(x_0^y) = h(\bar{x}_\xi)$
 FOR EACH $\xi < K$. SO \underline{F} HAS THE
 UNIVERSAL MAPPING PROPERTY. HENCE
 $\coprod_{\xi < K} \underline{F}_\xi \cong \underline{F}_K(\mathcal{V})$.

2. LET \mathcal{F} BE THE FILTER GENERATED
 BY \mathcal{K} . BY LEM. 3.31, FOR EACH $F \in \mathcal{F}$,
 $\exists m \in \omega \exists K_1, \dots, K_m \in \mathcal{K}$ SUCH THAT
 $K_1 \cap \dots \cap K_m \subseteq F$. BY ASSUMPTION $K_1 \cap \dots \cap K_m \neq \emptyset$.
 THUS $F \neq \emptyset$, AND SO \mathcal{F} IS PROPER.

3. \Rightarrow ASSUME $|X| \geq 2$. LET $\emptyset \subset \mathcal{I} \subset X$.
 THEN $[\mathcal{I}] \subset [\mathcal{I}] \subset \mathcal{P}(I)$. SO $[\mathcal{I}]$ IS
 NOT AN ULTRAFILTER.
 \Leftarrow ASSUME $|X| = 1$. LET $X = \{x\}$.
 $\forall \mathcal{I} \subset I, x \in \mathcal{I}$ OR $x \notin \mathcal{I}$ (E.),
 $x \in \mathcal{I}$ OR $x \in \bar{\mathcal{I}}$ (E. $\mathcal{I} \in [\mathcal{I}]$ OR $\bar{\mathcal{I}} \in [\mathcal{I}]$).
 SO $[\mathcal{I}]$ IS AN ULTRAFILTER SINCE IT IS
 CLEARLY PROPER.

4. (a) $\forall x \in X, \overline{x} \in \mathcal{F}$. HENCE
 $\bigcap \mathcal{F} = \emptyset$. IF $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$, THEN
 $\bigcap \mathcal{A} = \bigcap \mathcal{F} = \emptyset$. SO \mathcal{A} IS NONPRINCIPAL.

(b) WE SHOW FIRST OF ALL THAT IF
 \mathcal{A} CONTAINS A FINITE SET X THEN \mathcal{A}
 IS PRINCIPAL: $\bigcap \mathcal{A} = \bigcap \{F \cap X : F \in \mathcal{A}\}$
 SINCE X IS FINITE, $\exists F_1, \dots, F_m \in \mathcal{A}$ SUCH THAT
 $\bigcap \mathcal{A} = (F_1 \cap X) \cap \dots \cap (F_m \cap X) \in \mathcal{A}$. SO
 \mathcal{A} IS PRINCIPAL.

NOW ASSUME \mathcal{A} IS A NONPRINCIPAL
 ULTRAFILTER. LET $X \in \mathcal{F}$. $X \in \mathcal{A}$
 OR $\overline{X} \in \mathcal{A}$. BUT $\overline{X} \notin \mathcal{A}$ SINCE \overline{X} IS
 FINITE. SO $X \in \mathcal{A}$. HENCE $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$.

5. SUPPOSE BY WAY OF CONTRADICTION THAT

$$|\prod_{i \in I} A_i / \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{U})| > N. \text{ LET}$$

$\vec{a}_1, \dots, \vec{a}_{m+1} \in \prod_{i \in I} A_i$ SUCH THAT THE
 $\vec{a}_1 / \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{U}), \dots, \vec{a}_{m+1} / \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{U})$ ARE PAIRWISE
 DISTINCT. THEN, $\forall i, j \leq m+1, i \neq j,$
 $\text{EQ}(\vec{a}_i, \vec{a}_j) \notin \mathcal{U}$ AND HENCE

$\overline{\text{EQ}}(\vec{a}_i, \vec{a}_j) \in \mathcal{U}$. SO $\bigcap_{\substack{i, j \leq m+1 \\ i \neq j}} \overline{\text{EQ}}(\vec{a}_i, \vec{a}_j) \in \mathcal{U}$.

IN PARTICULAR, $\bigcap \overline{\text{EQ}}(\vec{a}_i, \vec{a}_j) \neq \emptyset$.

LET $z_2 \in \bigcap \overline{EQ}(\bar{a}_i, \bar{a}_j)$. THEN
 $a_1(z_2), a_2(z_2), \dots, a_{m+1}(z_2)$ ARE
PAIRWISE DISTINCT. HENCE $|A_{z_2}| > N$,
A CONTRADICTION.