

# SOLUTIONS PROBLEM SET # 4

1. a) DEFINE  $\alpha = \{ \langle a, b \rangle : \exists m \in \mathbb{Z} (a - b = m \cdot (u - d)) \}$ .  $a - a = 0(u - d)$  so  $\alpha$  REFLEXIVE. IF  $a - b = m(u - d)$ , THEN  $b - a = (-m)(u - d)$ , so  $\alpha$  IS SYMMETRIC. IF  $a_0 - a_1 = m(u - d)$  AND  $a_1 - a_2 = n(u - d)$  THEN  $a_0 - a_2 = (a_0 - a_1) + (a_1 - a_2) = (m + n)(u - d)$ . So  $\alpha$  IS EQUIVALENCE RELATION. SUPPOSE  $a_0 - b_0 = m(u - d)$  AND  $a_1 - b_1 = n(u - d)$ . THEN  $(a_0 + a_1) - (b_0 + b_1) = (a_0 - b_0) + (a_1 - b_1) = (m + n)(u - d)$ ;  $-a_0 - (-b_0) = -(a_0 - b_0) = (-m)(u - d)$ . So  $\alpha$  HAS SUBSTITUTION PROPERTY AND HENCE  $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}_0(A)$ .  $u - d = 1(u - d)$  so  $\langle u, d \rangle \in \alpha$  AND HENCE  $\Theta_A(u, d) \subseteq \alpha$ . SUPPOSE  $\langle a, b \rangle \in \alpha$ , SAY  $a - b = m(u - d)$ . THEN  $a = b + m(u - d)$ . NOTE THAT  $u \equiv_{\Theta(u, d)} d$  IMPLIES  $u - d \equiv_{\Theta(u, d)} d - d = 0$  AND HENCE  $m(u - d) \equiv_{\Theta(u, d)} m \cdot 0 = 0$  (SINCE  $m(u - d) = \underbrace{(u - d) + \dots + (u - d)}_m$  IF  $m > 0$  AND  $m(u - d) = -(-m)(u - d)$  IF  $m < 0$ ). THUS  $a \equiv_{\Theta(u, d)} b + 0 = b$ , AND SO  $\langle a, b \rangle \in \Theta(u, d)$ . THUS  $\alpha \subseteq \Theta(u, d)$ . SO  $\alpha = \Theta_A(u, d)$ . LET  $\underline{B} \subseteq \underline{A}$  AND  $b, b' \in \underline{B}$ .  $\Theta_A(b, b') \cap \underline{B}^2$  IS A CONGRUENCE

OF  $\underline{B}$  THAT INCLUDES  $\langle e, e^{-1} \rangle$ . SO

$$\Theta_{\underline{B}}(e, e^{-1}) \subseteq \Theta_{\underline{A}}(e, e^{-1}) \cap \underline{B}^2. \quad \text{SUPPOSE}$$

$\langle a, a^{-1} \rangle \in \Theta_{\underline{A}}(e, e^{-1})$  AND  $a, a^{-1} \in \underline{B}$ . THEN  
 $a^{-1}a = \bar{n}(e - e^{-1})$ . THUS, SINCE  $a, a^{-1} \in \underline{B}$ ,  
 $\langle a, a^{-1} \rangle \in \Theta_{\underline{B}}(e, e^{-1})$ . SO  $\Theta_{\underline{A}}(e, e^{-1}) \cap \underline{B}^2 =$   
 $\Theta_{\underline{B}}(e, e^{-1})$ .

b) DEFINE  $\alpha = \{ \langle a, b \rangle : u \wedge d \wedge a = u \wedge d \wedge b$   
AND  $u \vee d \vee a = u \vee d \vee b \}$ . WE VERIFY  $\alpha$   
IS A CONGRUENCE RELATION. LET

$f_{\wedge}, f_{\vee} : A \rightarrow A$  BE DEFINED BY  
 $f_{\wedge}(x) = u \wedge d \wedge x$  AND  $f_{\vee}(x) = u \vee d \vee x$ .

CLAIM  $f_{\wedge}, f_{\vee} \in \text{END}(A)$ .  $f_{\wedge}(x \wedge y) =$   
 $(u \wedge d) \wedge (x \wedge y) = (u \wedge d \wedge x) \wedge (u \wedge d \wedge y) =$   
 $f_{\wedge}(x) \wedge f_{\wedge}(y)$ .  $f_{\wedge}(x \vee y) = (u \wedge d) \wedge (x \vee y) =$   
 $(u \wedge d \wedge x) \vee (u \wedge d \wedge y) = f_{\wedge}(x) \vee f_{\wedge}(y)$ .

SO  $f_{\wedge} \in \text{END}(A)$  AND BY DUALITY  
 $f_{\vee} \in \text{END}(A)$ .  $\langle a, b \rangle \in \alpha$  IFF

$f_{\wedge}(a) = f_{\wedge}(b)$  AND  $f_{\vee}(a) = f_{\vee}(b)$  IFF  
 $\langle a, b \rangle \in \text{KER}(f_{\wedge}) \cap \text{KER}(f_{\vee})$ . SO  
 $\alpha = \text{KER}(f_{\wedge}) \cap \text{KER}(f_{\vee}) \in \text{CO}(A)$ .

$f_{\wedge}(u) = u \wedge d = f_{\wedge}(d)$  AND  $f_{\vee}(u) =$   
 $u \vee d = f_{\vee}(d)$ . SO  $\langle u, d \rangle \in \alpha$  AND  
HENCE  $\Theta_{\underline{A}}(u, d) \subseteq \alpha$ .

NOTE THAT  $u \wedge d \equiv u \wedge u = u = u \vee u$   
 $\Theta_{\underline{A}}(u, d)$

$\equiv \Theta_A(u, d) \cup yd$ . SUPPOSE  $\langle a, b \rangle \in \alpha$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{THEN } a &= (u \wedge d \wedge a) \vee a = (u \wedge d \wedge b) \vee a \\ &= ((u \wedge d) \vee a) \wedge (b \vee a) \equiv \Theta_A(u, d) \wedge (u \vee d \vee a) \wedge (b \vee a) \\ &= (u \vee d \vee b) \wedge (b \vee a) \stackrel{\text{BY SYMMETRY}}{\equiv} \Theta_A(u, d) \wedge (u \vee d \vee a) \wedge (a \wedge b) \\ &= (u \vee d \vee b) \wedge (b \vee a) \equiv \Theta_A(u, d) \wedge (u \vee d \vee a) \wedge (a \wedge b) \equiv \Theta_A(u, d) \wedge (u \vee d \vee a) \wedge (a \wedge b) \\ &\text{AND HENCE } \alpha = \Theta_A(u, d). \end{aligned}$$

LET  $A \subseteq B$  AND  $b, b' \in B$ .

$$\Theta_B(b, b') \subseteq \Theta_A(b, b') \cap B^2 \text{ IN GENERAL.}$$

SUPPOSE  $\langle a, a' \rangle \in \Theta_A(b, b')$  AND  $a, a' \in B$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{THEN } b \wedge^B b' \wedge^B a &= b \wedge^A b' \wedge^A a = b \wedge^A b' \wedge^A a' = b \wedge^B b' \wedge^B a'. \text{ SIMILARLY} \\ b \vee^B b' \vee^B a &= b \vee^B b' \vee^B a'. \text{ SO } \langle a, a' \rangle \in \\ \Theta_B(b, b') \text{ AND HENCE } \Theta_B(b, b') &= \Theta_A(b, b') \cap B^2. \end{aligned}$$

#2 (a). SUPPOSE  $\nabla_A = \Theta_A(t_2)$  WITH  $t_2 \in A \times A$  AND  $t_2$  FINITE. LET  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \text{Co}(A) - \{\nabla_A\}$  SUCH THAT  $\mathcal{C}$  IS LINEARLY ORDERED BY  $\subseteq$ . CLAIM  $\bigcup \mathcal{C} \in \text{Co}(A) - \{\nabla_A\}$ .

$\bigcup \mathcal{C} \in \text{Co}(A)$  SINCE WE KNOW THAT  $\text{Co}(A)$  IS AN ALGEBRAIC CLOSED-SET SYSTEM.

IF  $\bigcup \mathcal{C} = \nabla_A$  THEN  $t_2 \subseteq \bigcup \mathcal{C}$ . THUS  $t_2 \in \alpha$  FOR SOME  $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}$  SINCE  $t_2$  FINITE. SO  $\alpha = \nabla_A$ , A CONTRADICTION. SO  $\bigcup \mathcal{C} \in$

$\text{Co}(\underline{A}) = \{\nabla_{\underline{A}}\}$ . BY ZORN'S LEMMA

$\text{Co}(\underline{A})$  CONTAINS A MAXIMAL PROPER  
CONGRUENCE  $\alpha$ , I.E.,  $\alpha$  IS COATOM OF  
THE LATTICE  $\text{Co}(\underline{A}) = \langle \text{Co}(\underline{A}), \vee, \cap \rangle$ .

THUS  $\underline{A}/\alpha$  IS SIMPLE BY CORRESPONDENCE  
THEOREM AND A HOMOMORPHIC IMAGE OF  $\underline{A}$ .

(b) LET  $a \in A$  AND  $\mathcal{I} = (\underline{X} \times \{a\}) \cup$   
 $(\{\sigma^A(a, a, \dots, a) : \sigma \in \Sigma\} \times \{a\})$ . LET  
 $\alpha = \Theta_A(\mathcal{I})$ . CLAIM  $\alpha = \nabla_{\underline{A}}$ . TO SHOW

THIS IT SUFFICES TO SHOW THAT  $a/\alpha = A$ .

$\langle x, a \rangle \in \mathcal{I} \subseteq \alpha$  FOR EVERY  $x \in \underline{X}$ . SO  
 $\underline{X} \subseteq a/\alpha$ . SUPPOSE  $\sigma \in \Sigma$ ,  $\rho(\sigma) = m$  AND  
 $a_1, \dots, a_m \in a/\alpha$ . THUS  $a_i \equiv_{\alpha} a$  FOR  
EACH  $i \leq m$ . SO  $\sigma^A(a_1, \dots, a_m) \equiv_{\alpha}$   
 $\sigma^A(a, a, \dots, a) \equiv_{\alpha} a$ . THUS  $\sigma^A(a_1, \dots, a_m) \in$   
 $a/\alpha$ . HENCE  $a/\alpha \in \text{SUB}(\underline{A})$  AND  
SO  $a/\alpha = A$  SINCE  $\underline{X} \subseteq a/\alpha$  AND  $\underline{X}$   
GENERATES  $\underline{A}$ .

(c) ASSUME  $\underline{R} = \langle \underline{R}, +, \cdot, -, 0, 1 \rangle$  IS A  
COMMUTATIVE RING. LET  $\alpha = \Theta_{\underline{R}}(\{\langle 0, 1 \rangle\})$ .

$0 \equiv_{\alpha} 1$  IMPLIES  $0 = 0 \cdot u \equiv_{\alpha} 1 \cdot u = u$   
FOR EVERY  $u \in \underline{R}$ . THUS  $\underline{R} = 0/\alpha$   
AND HENCE  $\alpha = \nabla_{\underline{R}}$ . NOW APPLY  
PART (a).

#3  $\Leftarrow$  SUPPOSE EXIST  $\langle h_i : i \in I \rangle \in \prod_{i \in I} \text{Hom}(\underline{B}, \underline{A}_i)$  THAT SEPARATES POINTS. 5

BY CATEGORICAL PRODUCT PROPERTY

$\exists! h^* : \underline{B} \rightarrow \prod_{i \in I} \underline{A}_i$  SUCH THAT  $\pi_i \circ h^* = h_i$

FOR EACH  $i \in I$ .  $\parallel \in \parallel$ ,  $h^*(\varphi) = \langle h_i(\varphi) : i \in I \rangle$ , THUS  $h^*(\varphi) = h^*(\varphi')$

IFF  $h_i(\varphi) = h_i(\varphi')$  FOR ALL  $i \in I$

IFF  $\varphi = \varphi'$  SINCE  $\langle h_i : i \in I \rangle$

SEPARATES POINTS. SO  $h^* : \underline{B} \cong h^*(\underline{B}) \subseteq$

$\prod_{i \in I} \underline{A}_i$ . THUS  $\underline{B} \cong ; \subseteq \prod_{i \in I} \underline{A}_i$ .

$\Rightarrow$  SUPPOSE  $\underline{B} \cong ; \subseteq \prod_{i \in I} \underline{A}_i$ .

LET  $h^* : \underline{B} \rightarrow \prod_{i \in I} \underline{A}_i$ . LET  $h_i =$

$\pi_i \circ h^* : \underline{B} \rightarrow \underline{A}_i$  FOR ALL  $i \in I$ .

SUPPOSE  $\varphi, \varphi' \in \underline{B}$  WITH  $\varphi \neq \varphi'$ . THEN

$\langle h_i(\varphi) : i \in I \rangle = h^*(\varphi) \neq h^*(\varphi') =$

$\langle h_i(\varphi') : i \in I \rangle$ . THUS  $h_i(\varphi) \neq h_i(\varphi')$

FOR SOME  $i$ . SO  $\langle h_i : i \in I \rangle$  SEPARATES

POINTS.

#4  $\Rightarrow$  SUPPOSE  $\vec{\alpha} = \langle \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m \rangle \in \text{Co}(\underline{A})^m$ .

IS A FACTOR CONGRUENCE SYSTEM (FCS)

THEN  $\alpha_1 \cap \dots \cap \alpha_m = \Delta_{\underline{A}}$  BY DEF. OF FCS.

BY THE CRP THE SYSTEM OF CONGRUENCE

EQUATIONS  $x \equiv_{\alpha_i} a_i, x \equiv_{\alpha_j} b_j$  FOR ALL

$j \leq m, j \neq i$ , HAS A SOLUTION  $u$ .

THUS  $\omega \alpha_i \omega \bigcap_{j \neq i} \alpha_j \in \bigcap_{j \neq i} \alpha_j$  (i.e.)  
 $\langle \omega, \omega \rangle \in \alpha_i \hat{\alpha}_i$  FOR EACH  $i \leq m$ .

SINCE THIS HOLDS FOR ALL  $\langle \omega, \omega \rangle \in \nabla_A$ .  
 $\alpha_i \hat{\alpha}_i = \nabla_A$  FOR EACH  $i \leq m$ .

$\Leftarrow$  CLAIM  $\alpha_i \hat{\alpha}_i = \nabla_A$  FOR EVERY  $i \leq m$   
 $\iff \vec{\alpha}$  HAS THE CRP.

PROOF  $\Leftarrow$  THIS WAS PROVED ABOVE  
 $\Rightarrow$  PROVE BY INDUCTION ON  $m$ .

$m=2$ :  $\alpha_0 \hat{\alpha}_1 = \nabla_A \Rightarrow \forall \alpha_0, \alpha_1 \in A \exists \omega \in A$   
 SUCH THAT  $\omega \alpha_0 \omega \alpha_1 \Rightarrow \forall \alpha_0, \alpha_1 \in A$   
 THE PAIR OF CONGRUENCE EQUATIONS  
 $x \equiv_{\alpha_0} \alpha_0, x \equiv_{\alpha_1} \alpha_1$  HAS A SOLUTION.

INDUCTION STEP: SUPPOSE  $\vec{\alpha} = \langle \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{m+1} \rangle \in$   
 $\mathcal{C}(\underline{A})^{m+1}$  AND  $\alpha_i \hat{\alpha}_i = \nabla_A$  FOR EACH  
 $i \leq m+1$ . LET  $\tilde{\alpha}_i = \alpha_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \alpha_{i-1} \wedge \alpha_{i+1} \wedge \dots \wedge \alpha_m$   
 FOR EACH  $i \leq m$ .  $\hat{\alpha}_i \subseteq \tilde{\alpha}_i$  AND HENCE  
 $\alpha_i \hat{\alpha}_i \subseteq \alpha_i \tilde{\alpha}_i = \nabla_i$  FOR EACH  $i \leq m$ .

THUS BY THE IND. HYP. THE SYSTEM OF  
 CONGRUENCE EQUATIONS  $x \equiv_{\alpha_i} \alpha_i, i \leq m$ ,  
 HAS A SOLUTION  $\omega$ . SINCE  $\alpha_m \hat{\alpha}_m = \nabla_A$ ,

THE SYSTEM OF EQUATIONS  $x \equiv_{\alpha_{m+1}} \alpha_{m+1},$   
 $x \equiv_{\alpha_i} \omega$  FOR  $i \leq m$  HAS A SOLUTION  $d$ .

THEN  $d$  IS A SOLUTION OF THE  $m+1$   
 CONGRUENCE EQUATIONS  $x \equiv_{\alpha_i} \alpha_i, i \leq m+1$ .  
 SO  $\vec{\alpha} = \langle \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{m+1} \rangle$  HAS THE CRP.

THE DESIRED RESULT FOLLOWS IMMEDIATELY  
 FROM CLAIM.  $\square$  CLAIM

#5 a)  $\Rightarrow$  ASSUME  $\underline{A}$  IS DI AND 7  
 $\underline{A} \cong \prod_{i \in I} \underline{B}_i$ . THEN EXISTS  $\langle \alpha_i : i \in I \rangle \in$   
 $\text{Co}(\underline{A})$  SUCH THAT (1)  $\bigcap \alpha_i = \Delta_A$   
 (2)  $\langle \alpha_i : i \in I \rangle$  HAS CRP  
 (3)  $\underline{A}/\alpha_i \cong \underline{B}_i$  FOR EACH  $i \in I$ .

LET  $\hat{\alpha}_i = \bigcap_{j \neq i} \alpha_j$ . THEN BY THE CRP

WE HAVE

(\*)  $\alpha_i; \hat{\alpha}_i = \nabla_A$  FOR EACH  $i \in I$

SO EACH  $\alpha_i$  IS A FACTOR CONGRUENCE.

AND THUS  $\alpha_i \in \{\Delta_A, \nabla_A\}$  BY  
 ASSUMPTION.  $\alpha_i = \Delta_A$  FOR AT MOST ONE  
 $i$  SINCE, IF  $\alpha_i = \alpha_j = \Delta_A$  WITH  $i \neq j$ )  
 THEN  $\hat{\alpha}_i = \Delta_A$ , BECAUSE  $\hat{\alpha}_i \subseteq \alpha_j$ , AND  
 HENCE  $\alpha_i; \hat{\alpha}_i = \Delta_A$  CONTRADICTING (\*).

$\Leftarrow$  LET  $\alpha$  BE A FACTOR CONGRUENCE  
 OF  $\underline{A}$  AND LET  $\hat{\alpha}$  BE ITS COMPLEMENTARY  
 FACTOR CONGRUENCE. THEN  $\alpha \cap \hat{\alpha} = \Delta_A$   
 AND  $\alpha; \hat{\alpha} = \nabla_A$ . THEN  $\underline{A} \cong \underline{A}/\alpha \times \underline{A}/\hat{\alpha}$ .

THUS BY ASSUMPTION  $\underline{A}/\alpha$  OR  $\underline{A}/\hat{\alpha}$  IS  
 TRIVIAL, I.E.,  $\alpha$  OR  $\hat{\alpha}$  IS  $\nabla_A$ . IF  $\hat{\alpha} = \nabla_A$   
 THEN  $\alpha = \alpha \cap \nabla_A = \alpha \cap \hat{\alpha} = \Delta_A$ . SO

$\alpha \in \{\Delta_A, \nabla_A\}$ . THIS SHOWS THAT  $\underline{A}$  IS DI.

$\Leftarrow$  NOTE THAT, FOR ANY ALGEBRA  $\underline{A}$ ; IF  
 $\underline{A}$  IS TRIVIAL THEN  $\underline{A} \times \underline{B} \cong \underline{B}$  FOR EVERY

ALGEBRA  $\underline{B}$ . ASSUME  $\underline{A}$  IS FINITE  
 IF  $\underline{A} \cong \prod_{i \in I} \underline{B}_i$  THEN  $\underline{B}_i$  CAN BE  
 NONTRIVIAL FOR AT MOST FINITELY MANY  
 $i$ . SO CAN ASSUME  $\underline{A} \cong \underline{B}_1 \times \dots \times \underline{B}_m$ .  
 ASSUME  $\underline{A}$  IS DI. THEN BY PART (a),  
 FOR SOME  $i \leq m$ ,  $\underline{B}_j$  IS TRIVIAL FOR  
 EVERY  $j \neq i$ . HENCE  $\underline{A} \cong \underline{B}_i$ .

$\Leftarrow$  SUPPOSE  $\underline{A} \cong \underline{B}_1 \times \dots \times \underline{B}_m$  IMPLIES  
 $\underline{A} \cong \underline{B}_i$  FOR SOME  $i$ .

$|A| = |B_1| \cdot \dots \cdot |B_m|$ . THUS

$$|B_1| \cdot \dots \cdot |B_{i-1}| \cdot |B_{i+1}| \cdot \dots \cdot |B_m| = \frac{|A|}{|B_i|} = 1.$$

HENCE  $\underline{B}_j$  IS TRIVIAL FOR EACH  $j \neq i$ .