

- (1) [Burris-Sanka. 1.4.6] Let $\mathbf{L} = \langle L, \leq \rangle$ be an algebraic lattice and D an upward directed subset of L , i.e., for all $d_1, d_2 \in D$ there exists a $d_3 \in D$ such that $d_1 \leq d_3$ and $d_2 \leq d_3$. Prove that, for every $a \in L$, $a \wedge \bigvee D = \bigvee_{d \in D} (a \wedge d)$.
[Hint: Show that, for every compact element c of \mathbf{L} , $c \leq a \wedge \bigvee D$ iff $c \leq \bigvee_{d \in D} (a \wedge d)$.]
- (2) [based on Burris-Sanka. 1.5.7] Let Σ be an arbitrary signature and let $\mathbf{A} = \langle A, \{\sigma^A : \sigma \in \Sigma\} \rangle$ be a finitely generated Σ -algebra, i.e., there exists a finite $X \subseteq A$ such that $A = \text{Sg}^{\mathbf{A}}(X)$. Prove that, for every set Y that generates \mathbf{A} , there is a finite $Y' \subseteq Y$ such that Y' generates \mathbf{A} .
- (3) Let $\langle A, \mathcal{C} \rangle$ be a closed-set system, and let \mathcal{C}_ω be the set of all finitely generated closed sets, i.e., $\mathcal{C}_\omega = \{ \text{Cl}_{\mathcal{C}}(X) : X \subseteq_\omega A \}$. $\langle A, \mathcal{C}_\omega \rangle$ may or may not be a closed-set system, i.e., the set of finitely generated sets may or may not be closed under intersection.
- (a) Prove that, for any set A , $\langle A, \text{Eq}(A)_\omega \rangle$, i.e., the set of all finitely generated equivalence relations, is a closed-set system.
[Hint: Show that every subequivalence relation of a finitely generated equivalence relation is finitely generated. Look at the associated partitions.]
- (b) Let $\Sigma = \{f, g\}$ where f is unary and g is binary. Let $\mathbf{A} = \langle \mathbb{Z}, f, g \rangle$ be the Σ -algebra whose universe is the set of integers and f and g are defined as follows. $f(n) = n + 1$ if $0 \leq n$; otherwise $f(n) = n$. $g(n, m) = n - m$ if $0 \leq n < m$; otherwise $g(n, m) = n$. (“+”, “−”, and “≤” are the usual addition, subtraction, and order of the additive group of integers.) Show that $\langle \mathbb{Z}, \text{Sub}(\mathbf{A})_\omega \rangle$ is not a closed-set system.
- (4) (a) Prove that every infinite mono-unary algebra has a proper subuniverse (i.e., a subuniverse different from both \emptyset and the universe of the algebra).
(b) Construct an infinite *bi-unary algebra* (i.e., two unary operations) that has no proper subuniverse.
- (5) The subuniverses of $\langle \mathbb{Z}, + \rangle$ different from $\langle \mathbb{Z}, +, -, 0 \rangle$ and are much harder to characterize. Show that they are all finitely generated.
[Hint: Show that the problem can be reduced to showing that every subuniverse of $\langle \omega, + \rangle$ is finitely generated. A subuniverse A of $\langle \omega, + \rangle$ is *eventually periodic* if there exists $n, m \in \omega$ with $m > 0$ such that every $x \in A$ with $x \geq m$ is of the form $m + kn$ with $k \in \omega$. For example, if A is the subalgebra of $\langle \omega, + \rangle$ generated by 3 and 5, then A is eventually periodic with $m = 8$ and $n = 1$. Prove that every subuniverse of $\langle \omega, + \rangle$ is eventually periodic. Use this fact to prove that every subuniverse is finitely generated.]