

**Part A.**

1. In each part, prove that the two statements are not logically equivalent. To do that, find counterexamples  $P$  and  $Q$  which make one of the statements true and the other false. Does one of the statements in each pair imply the other? If so, which implies which? *Hint:* Easy counterexamples will do.

- (a)  $(\forall x)(P(x) \vee Q(x))$  and  $(\forall x)(P(x)) \vee (\forall x)(Q(x))$ , where  $P(x)$  and  $Q(x)$  are some logical statements whose truth depends on the value of variable  $x$ .
- (b)  $(\exists x)(P(x) \wedge Q(x))$  and  $(\exists x)(P(x)) \wedge (\exists x)(Q(x))$ , where  $P(x)$  and  $Q(x)$  are some logical statements whose truth depends on the value of variable  $x$ .
- (c)  $(\forall x)(\exists y)(P(x, y))$  and  $(\exists y)(\forall x)(P(x, y))$ , where  $P(x, y)$  is some logical statement whose truth depends on the value of variables  $x$  and  $y$ . *Hint:* The first statement reads: “for every  $x$  there is a  $y$  such that  $P(x, y)$  is true,” while the second statement reads: “there is a  $y$  such that for every  $x$ ,  $P(x, y)$  is true.”

2. Let  $S$  be a set. Call  $S$  an *I-set* if it has a nonempty proper subset  $S_0$  (i.e.  $S_0 \subseteq S$ ,  $S_0 \neq S$ ,  $S_0 \neq \emptyset$ ) and there is a bijection (a 1-1 and onto function)  $\varphi : S_0 \rightarrow S$ . (So,  $\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\mathbb{N}$  are I-sets.) Call  $S$  an *F-set* set if  $S$  is not an I-set (in other words, there is no bijection between  $S$  and any of its nonempty proper subsets).

*Note:* this is just an ad hoc definition rather than a standard term.

- (a) Prove that the empty set,  $\emptyset$ , is an F-set. *Hint:* Proof by contradiction, takes  $\leq 30$  seconds.
- (b) Let  $S$  be a set and  $T \subseteq S$ . Prove that if  $S$  is an F-set then  $T$  is an F-set. *Hint:* Prove the contrapositive: if  $T$  is an I-set then  $S$  is an I-set.

*Remark:* You might have an intuition as to what I-sets and F-sets really are. However, here you can only use the definitions given in this problem.

3. Let  $S$  be a set,  $a, b \in S$ . Which of the following are reflexive, symmetric, transitive, and which are equivalence relations?

- (a)  $S$  is any set,  $(a, b) \in R$  iff  $a \neq b$ .
- (b)  $S$  is the set of invertible matrices,  $(a, b) \in R$  if  $b = a^{-1}$ .
- (c)  $S$  is the set of all polygons in the plane,  $(a, b) \in R$  iff  $b$  is obtained from  $a$  by a rotation.
- (d) Same, but replace “rotation” with “translation.”
- (e)  $m$  is a fixed nonnegative integer,  $S = C^m(\mathbb{R})$  is the set of functions from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  that have the  $m$ th derivative,  $(a, b) \in R$  iff  $a^{(m)} = b^{(m)}$  (i.e.  $a$  and  $b$  have the same  $m$ th derivative).

## Part B.

1. Which of these are equivalence relations (see Problem A3), which are not, and why?
    - (a)  $S = \bigcup_{\alpha \in T} S_\alpha$  where all the sets  $S_\alpha$  are nonempty and mutually disjoint (and index  $\alpha$  ranges over some set  $T$ ),  $a \sim b$  iff both  $a$  and  $b$  are in the same  $S_\alpha$ .
    - (b)  $S$  is the collection of all sets,  $a \sim b$  iff there is a bijection between set  $a$  and set  $b$ .
    - (c)  $S = C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  is the set of infinitely differentiable functions from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  (i.e. functions that have all possible derivatives),  $(a, b) \in R$  iff  $a^{(n)} = b^{(n)}$  for some  $n \geq 0$ . *Note:* Here, as opposed to Problem A3e,  $n$  is not fixed but depends on  $a$  and  $b$ .
  2.
    - (a) What is wrong with the following proof that symmetry and transitivity imply reflexivity? “Let  $(a, b) \in R$ , then  $(b, a) \in R$  by symmetry, so  $(a, a) \in R$  by transitivity (using  $c = a$ ).”
    - (b) Suggest an alternative property close to reflexivity such that symmetry and transitivity do imply this alternative property.
- 

## Part BB.

1. Let  $S$  be a set such that for some element  $e \in S$  there exists a bijection  $\varphi : S \rightarrow S \setminus \{e\}$ . Prove that there exists an injection from  $\mathbb{N}$  to  $S$ .

*Hint:* Consider elements  $\{\varphi^n(e) \mid n \geq 0\} = \{e, \varphi(e), \varphi(\varphi(e)), \varphi(\varphi(\varphi(e))), \dots\}$ , and prove they are all distinct, i.e. for  $m, n \geq 0$ , prove that  $m \neq n$  implies  $\varphi^m(e) \neq \varphi^n(e)$ . In other words, prove that for any  $n \geq 0$ ,  $\varphi^{n+1}(e) \notin \{e, \varphi(e), \varphi^2(e), \dots, \varphi^n(e)\}$ .

*Remark:* This proves that  $\mathbb{N}$  is the smallest I-set (up to a bijection).

## Part C.

1. For sets, use the definitions of F-sets and I-sets of Problem A2 above. Let  $R$  and  $S$  be F-sets. Prove that  $R \cup S$  is an F-set.

*Remarks:*

  - (a) Results and proofs of Problems A2 and BB1 may be useful here.
  - (b) Try a proof by contradiction. Say both  $R$  and  $S$  are F-sets, but  $T = R \cup S$  is an I-set. Then there is a nonempty proper subset  $T_0 \subseteq T$  such that there is a bijection  $\varphi : T \rightarrow T_0$ . Now  $T_0 \subsetneq T$ , so there is an element  $e \in T \setminus T_0$ . Consider elements  $e, \varphi(e), \varphi(\varphi(e)), \varphi(\varphi(\varphi(e))), \dots$  in  $T$ . Each of them is either in  $R$  or in  $S$ . Now let  $E = \{e, \varphi(e), \varphi(\varphi(e)), \varphi(\varphi(\varphi(e))), \dots\}$ ,  $E_R = E \cap R$  and  $E_S = E \cap S$ . Prove that at least one of  $E_R$  or  $E_S$  must be an I-set, then prove that at least one of  $R$  or  $S$  must be an I-set. Now deduce the result of the problem.

- (c) You may have an intuition already that I-sets are the infinite sets and F-sets are the finite sets, i.e. those with, say,  $n$  elements for some integer  $n \geq 0$ . In that case, if  $R$  has  $n$  elements and  $S$  has  $m$  elements, then  $R \cup S$  ought to have  $\leq n + m$  elements. If you actually wish to use that intuition here, you need to establish by strict argument that your notion of a finite set (i.e. having  $n$  elements for some integer  $n \geq 0$ ) is logically the same as the notion of an F-set from Problem A2. This is not so easy. Even if you do this, you are faced with the problem of  $R \cup S$  and connection with addition in  $\mathbb{N}$ . At some point or points in your argument, some real ingenuity would be required.