

# SENSITIVITY ANALYSES AND COMPUTATIONAL SHAPE OPTIMIZATION FOR INCOMPRESSIBLE FLOWS

John Burkardt

Dissertation submitted to the Faculty of the  
Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University  
in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy  
in  
Mathematics

Max D. Gunzburger, Chair  
John A. Burns  
Eugene M. Cliff  
Terry L. Herdman  
Janet S. Peterson

May 1995  
Blacksburg, Virginia

Keywords: Optimization, Fluid Flow  
Copyright 1995, John Burkardt

# ABSTRACT

We consider the optimization of a cost functional defined for a fluid flowing through a channel. Parameters control the shape of an obstruction in the flow, and the strength of the inflow. The problem is discretized using finite elements. Optimization algorithms are considered which use either finite differences or sensitivities to estimate the gradient of the cost functional. Problems of scaling, local minimization, and cost functional regularization are considered. Methods of improving the efficiency of the algorithm are proposed.

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am grateful for the support of the Air Force Office of Scientific Research, through contracts 93-1-0061, 93-1-0280 and F49620-92-J-0261, which allowed me to carry out the research reported in this document.

I wish to thank the following persons:

- Avner Friedman of the IMA in Minneapolis, and Ken Bowers and John Lund of the Mathematics Department of the University of Montana, who sponsored conferences at which some of the ideas in this thesis were presented.
- My colleague Jeff Borggaard, for numerous informal discussions on the role and meaning of sensitivities, programming problems, scientific visualization, and the subtleties of  $\text{\LaTeX}$  and *psfig*.
- Rossi Karamikhova, who shares the agony of preparing a dissertation, for her encouragement at several crucial moments.

Thanks are also due to my committee members, John Burns, Gene Cliff, and Terry Herdman, who welcomed me back into graduate school and, with various kindnesses, encouraged me to continue.

I express warm gratitude to Max Gunzburger, my adviser, who waited patiently as I progressed at my own, belabored speed, always prepared to consider any difficulties I had run into and offer help and insight.

I offer special thanks to Janet Peterson, who convinced me, despite my great misgivings, to return to graduate school and finish my degree. She went out of her way to ensure my admission, despite numerous lost documents; she guided my choice of classes; she urged me to begin writing as early as possible, and read several drafts; and she simply never doubted that I was going to finish.

Finally, I wish to thank my mother and father, who always believed in the value of learning, who were patiently supportive through my prolonged and discursive education, and who are possibly more excited about my degree than if they had gotten one themselves.

# DEDICATION

My sister Barbara was born when I was 11, and she spent most of her early years riding on my shoulders. When our family moved across the country in a trailer, it was because of her that I got to sleep in the lowest, biggest bed (and it was over me that she had to crawl when she managed to fall out of that bed). When she got too big to carry on my shoulders, I used to swing her around, first by her arms, and then by her legs, and I only remember dropping her once. When I went to high school, she used to wait for me by the front door in the afternoon. When I went off to college, I left her on her own, but she turned out pretty well anyway. Now that she works at Hershey, she's taking care of me with regular shipments of chocolate.

In honor of our long happy years together, this thesis is dedicated to my dear sister Barbara.

# Contents

- 1 THE FOREBODY SIMULATOR PROBLEM 1**
- 1.1 Introduction . . . . . 1
- 1.2 The Physical Problem: A Forebody Simulator . . . . . 2
- 1.3 An Abstract Model of the Forebody Simulator . . . . . 3
  
- 2 A PARAMETERIZED CLASS OF FLOW PROBLEMS 7**
- 2.1 Introduction . . . . . 7
- 2.2 How the Parameters Enter the Problem . . . . . 7
- 2.3 The Reynolds Number . . . . . 10
- 2.4 Specifying the Inflow Function . . . . . 11
- 2.5 Specifying the Bump Shape . . . . . 12
- 2.6 Example: A Five Parameter Problem . . . . . 13
  
- 3 CONTINUOUS FLUID FLOW EQUATIONS 16**
- 3.1 Introduction . . . . . 16
- 3.2 The Navier Stokes Equations . . . . . 17

3.3	Poiseuille Flow . . . . .	20
3.4	Picard Iteration for the Continuous Navier Stokes Equations . . . . .	21
3.5	Newton's Method for the Continuous Navier Stokes Equations . . . . .	24
<b>4</b>	<b>DISCRETE FLUID FLOW EQUATIONS</b>	<b>29</b>
4.1	Introduction . . . . .	29
4.2	Derivation of the Finite Element Equations . . . . .	30
4.3	Representation of the Solution . . . . .	31
4.4	Discretizing the Geometry . . . . .	32
4.5	Choosing a Master Element . . . . .	33
4.6	Constructing Basis Functions . . . . .	36
4.7	Discretizing the Navier Stokes Equations . . . . .	38
4.8	Discretizing the Boundary Conditions . . . . .	41
4.9	Estimating the Solution at Nearby Parameters . . . . .	43
4.10	Picard Iteration Applied to the Discrete Problem . . . . .	44
4.11	The Newton Method Applied to the Discrete Problem . . . . .	46
4.12	The Approximation of the Continuous Problem . . . . .	49
<b>5</b>	<b>STATE SENSITIVITIES WITH RESPECT TO A PARAMETER</b>	<b>53</b>
5.1	Introduction . . . . .	53
5.2	Questions About the Solutions of Parameterized Problems . . . . .	54

5.3	The Sensitivity of a Continuous Solution . . . . .	56
5.4	The Sensitivity of a Discrete Solution . . . . .	58
5.5	The Discretized Sensitivity of a Solution . . . . .	62
5.6	Example: An Explicit Parameter in a Linear ODE . . . . .	64
5.7	Sensitivities for Geometric Parameters . . . . .	66
<b>6</b>	<b>SENSITIVITIES FOR AN EXPLICIT PARAMETER</b>	<b>68</b>
6.1	Introduction . . . . .	68
6.2	<i>Re</i> -Sensitivity Equations . . . . .	69
6.3	$\lambda$ -Sensitivity Equations . . . . .	72
6.4	Discretization and Differentiation May Commute . . . . .	73
6.5	Exact Example: Poiseuille Sensitivities . . . . .	76
6.6	Computational Example: Flow Past a Bump . . . . .	77
6.7	Comparison of Sensitivities with Finite Difference Estimates . . . . .	78
<b>7</b>	<b>SENSITIVITIES FOR AN IMPLICIT PARAMETER</b>	<b>80</b>
7.1	Introduction . . . . .	80
7.2	The Continuous $\alpha$ -Sensitivity Equations . . . . .	81
7.3	The Discrete $\alpha$ -Sensitivity Equations . . . . .	86
7.4	The Discretized $\alpha$ -Sensitivity Equations . . . . .	89
7.5	Exact Example: Shape Sensitivity of Poiseuille Flow . . . . .	90

7.6	Computational Example: Flow Past a Bump . . . . .	93
7.7	Comparison of Finite Differences and Discretized Sensitivities . . . . .	94
<b>8</b>	<b>FINITE DIFFERENCE ESTIMATES OF SENSITIVITIES</b>	<b>96</b>
8.1	Introduction . . . . .	96
8.2	Finite Coefficient Differences and Finite Physical Differences . . . . .	97
8.3	Differencing with Respect to Geometric Parameters . . . . .	100
8.4	Finite Differences for a Discretized Problem . . . . .	104
8.5	Sensitivity Approximation with Decreasing $h$ . . . . .	108
<b>9</b>	<b>OPTIMIZATION AND THE COST FUNCTIONAL</b>	<b>112</b>
9.1	Introduction . . . . .	112
9.2	Local Minimizers in Computational Optimization . . . . .	113
9.3	The Optimization Algorithm . . . . .	116
9.4	Defining a Cost Functional . . . . .	118
9.5	The Role of the Cost Functional in Optimization . . . . .	120
9.6	The Cost Functional as a Function of the Problem Parameters . . . . .	121
9.7	Computing the Gradient of the Cost Functional . . . . .	122
9.8	The Formula for the Hessian . . . . .	125
9.9	Optimization With Approximate Gradients . . . . .	126
9.10	Example: A Cost Functional Using Horizontal Velocities Only . . . . .	127

9.11	Regularization of Cost Functionals . . . . .	130
<b>10</b>	<b>A POORLY SCALED OPTIMIZATION</b>	<b>132</b>
10.1	Introduction . . . . .	132
10.2	A Poor Optimization Using Discretized Sensitivities . . . . .	132
10.3	Approximating the Cost Gradient with Finite Differences . . . . .	136
10.4	Approximating the Sensitivities with Finite Differences . . . . .	137
10.5	Investigating the Poor Convergence . . . . .	139
<b>11</b>	<b>A DISCRETIZED SENSITIVITY FAILURE</b>	<b>142</b>
11.1	Introduction . . . . .	142
11.2	A Stalled Optimization . . . . .	143
11.3	Checking the Results Along a Line . . . . .	145
11.4	Checking the Results in a Plane . . . . .	147
<b>12</b>	<b>A LOCAL MINIMIZER</b>	<b>154</b>
12.1	Introduction . . . . .	154
12.2	Optimization Using Finite Difference Gradients . . . . .	155
12.3	Checking for Local Minimization . . . . .	157
12.4	The Possibility of Spurious Solutions . . . . .	158
<b>13</b>	<b>OPTIMIZATION WITH A PENALTY FUNCTIONAL</b>	<b>164</b>

13.1	Introduction . . . . .	164
13.2	Regularizing the Cost Functional . . . . .	165
13.3	Reaching the Global Minimizer . . . . .	168
<b>14</b>	<b>FLOWS AT HIGHER REYNOLDS NUMBERS</b>	<b>171</b>
14.1	Introduction . . . . .	171
14.2	“Local” Influences Become Global . . . . .	171
14.3	Getting a Starting Point . . . . .	175
<b>15</b>	<b>APPROXIMATING NONFEASIBLE TARGETS</b>	<b>177</b>
15.1	Introduction . . . . .	177
15.2	Example 1: Differing One-Parameter Bump Shapes . . . . .	178
15.3	Example 2: Differing Three-Parameter Bump Shapes . . . . .	181
15.4	Example 3: Three-Parameter Inflows . . . . .	183
<b>16</b>	<b>EFFICIENT SOLUTION OF THE OPTIMIZATION PROBLEM</b>	<b>190</b>
16.1	Introduction . . . . .	190
16.2	A Standard Test Problem and Algorithm . . . . .	191
16.3	Expensive Computational Tasks . . . . .	192
16.4	A Comparison of Algorithms at $Re = 1$ . . . . .	194
16.5	A Comparison of Algorithms at $Re = 100$ . . . . .	197
16.6	Appropriate Newton Tolerances . . . . .	198

<b>17 THE COMPUTATIONAL ALGORITHM</b>	<b>203</b>
17.1 Introduction . . . . .	203
17.2 Black Box Versus One-Shot Methods . . . . .	203
17.3 Black Box Flow Optimization Algorithm . . . . .	204
17.4 User Input . . . . .	206
17.4.1 Physical Problem Input . . . . .	206
17.4.2 Discretization Input . . . . .	207
17.4.3 Cost Functional Input . . . . .	208
17.4.4 Initial Parameter Input . . . . .	208
17.4.5 Simple and Newton Iteration Input . . . . .	209
17.4.6 Cost Gradient Input . . . . .	209
17.4.7 Optimization Input . . . . .	210
17.5 Algorithm Details . . . . .	210
17.6 Computational Optimization . . . . .	213
<b>18 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS</b>	<b>216</b>

# List of Figures

1.1	An engine and forebody simulator, in a wind tunnel. . . . .	3
1.2	An abstract model of the forebody simulator problem. . . . .	5
2.1	An inflow specified with eight parameters. . . . .	11
2.2	A bump specified with two parameters. . . . .	12
2.3	The flow problem $(\lambda, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, Re) = (1.0, 1.0, 0.5, 1.5, 10.0)$ . . . . .	13
2.4	The velocity solution for the five parameter problem. . . . .	14
2.5	Contours of the pressure solution for the five parameter problem. . . . .	14
3.1	Velocity vectors and pressure contour lines for Poiseuille flow. . . . .	21
4.1	Decomposition of a flow region into nodes. . . . .	33
4.2	A Taylor Hood element. . . . .	34
4.3	Decomposition of a flow region into elements. . . . .	36
6.1	Discrete velocity sensitivity with respect to the inflow parameter $\lambda$ . . . . .	77
6.2	Discrete velocity sensitivity with respect to the $Re$ parameter. . . . .	78

7.1	Estimating the solution at a moving point. . . . .	84
7.2	How an integral changes when the integrand and region both vary. . . . .	87
7.3	Discretized velocity $\alpha$ -sensitivity. . . . .	93
8.1	Discretized $\alpha$ -sensitivity nodal values. . . . .	99
8.2	The corresponding $\alpha$ -finite difference nodal values. . . . .	100
8.3	Adjusted finite difference $\alpha$ -sensitivity nodal values. . . . .	107
8.4	Discretized sensitivity/adjusted finite coefficient difference discrepancies. . .	110
10.1	The flow region and velocity field for the target parameters. . . . .	133
10.2	The bump and velocity field for the target parameters. . . . .	134
10.3	The computed bump and velocity field using discretized sensitivities. . . . .	135
10.4	The computed bump and velocity field using a finite difference cost gradient. .	137
10.5	The bump and velocity using finite differences for sensitivities. . . . .	138
10.6	Discretized velocity $\alpha_2$ -sensitivity field. . . . .	140
11.1	The target flow region, velocity field, and profile line. . . . .	143
11.2	The flow produced with discretized sensitivities. . . . .	144
11.3	Values of $\mathcal{J}_2^h(\beta(S))$ along a line. . . . .	146
11.4	Values of $(\nabla \mathcal{J}_2)^h(\beta(S)) * dS$ along a line. . . . .	146
11.5	A contour map of the cost functional $\mathcal{J}_2^h$ in a plane. . . . .	148
11.6	$\mathcal{J}_2^h$ gradient directions by discretized sensitivities. . . . .	149

11.7	$\mathcal{J}_2^h$ gradient directions by finite difference sensitivities. . . . .	150
11.8	Comparison of $\mathcal{J}_2^h$ gradient directions. . . . .	152
12.1	The local optimizing flow. . . . .	156
12.2	Values of $\mathcal{J}_2^h$ on a line between the local and global minimizers. . . . .	157
12.3	Contours of $\mathcal{J}_2^h$ on a plane containing the two minimizers. . . . .	159
12.4	Contours and normalized gradients of $\mathcal{J}_2^h$ . . . . .	160
12.5	The flow for $h = 0.166$ . . . . .	162
12.6	The flow for $h = 0.125$ . . . . .	162
13.1	Contours for cost functional $\mathcal{J}_3^h$ with $\epsilon = 0.0002$ . . . . .	167
13.2	Values of $\mathcal{J}_2^h$ during the optimization of $\mathcal{J}_3^h$ . . . . .	170
14.1	Velocity sensitivities for $Re = 1$ . . . . .	173
14.2	Velocity sensitivities for $Re = 10$ . . . . .	173
14.3	Velocity sensitivities for $Re = 100$ . . . . .	174
14.4	Velocity sensitivities for $Re = 500$ . . . . .	174
14.5	Velocity sensitivities for $Re = 1000$ . . . . .	174
15.1	Example 1: The target flow. . . . .	179
15.2	Example 1: The optimizing flow. . . . .	179
15.3	Example 1: Comparison of target and achieved flows. . . . .	180
15.4	Example 2: The target flow. . . . .	182

15.5	Example 2: The optimizing flow. . . . .	182
15.6	Example 2: Comparison of the target and minimizing flows. . . . .	183
15.7	Example 2: Comparison of the target and linear interpolant flows. . . . .	184
15.8	Example 3: The “artificial” flow. . . . .	186
15.9	Example 3: The optimizing flow, near the profile line. . . . .	187
15.10	Example 3: The optimizing inflow (includes outflow!). . . . .	188
15.11	Example 3: The match between target and desired flows. . . . .	189
16.1	CPU time as a function of Newton tolerance, $Re = 1$ . . . . .	201

# List of Tables

6.1	Finite difference check of $\lambda$ -sensitivities. . . . .	79
6.2	Finite difference check of $Re$ -sensitivities. . . . .	79
7.1	Finite difference check of discretized $\alpha$ -sensitivities. . . . .	94
8.1	Finite coefficient differences versus discretized $\alpha$ -sensitivities. . . . .	109
9.1	Cost gradients via discretized sensitivities and finite differences. . . . .	129
10.1	Optimization results for $\mathcal{J}_1^h$ , using discretized sensitivities. . . . .	135
10.2	Results for $\mathcal{J}_1^h$ , using finite cost gradient differences. . . . .	137
10.3	Results for $\mathcal{J}_1^h$ , using finite coefficient differences. . . . .	139
11.1	Optimization results for $\mathcal{J}_2^h$ . . . . .	144
11.2	Values of $\mathcal{J}_2^h$ along a line in parameter space. . . . .	153
12.1	Results for $\mathcal{J}_2^h$ , using finite difference gradients. . . . .	155
12.2	Investigating the local minimizer with finer meshes. . . . .	161

13.1	Optimization results on $\mathcal{J}_3^h$ for various values of $\epsilon$ .	166
13.2	Results at the end of each stage of the three-stage optimization.	169
13.3	Results at the end of each stage of the two-stage optimization.	170
15.1	Example 1: Optimization results.	181
15.2	Example 2: Optimization results.	181
15.3	Example 3: Optimization results.	185
16.1	Matrix work required for various values of $h$ .	193
16.2	Work carried out for various algorithms, $Re = 1$ .	195
16.3	Work carried out for various algorithms, $Re = 100$ .	197
16.4	Work carried out for various Newton tolerances, $Re = 1$ .	200
16.5	Work carried out for various Newton tolerances, $Re = 100$ .	202

# Bibliography

- [1] J Boland and R Nicolaides, *The Stability of Finite Elements Under Divergence Constraints*, **SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis**, Volume 20, Number 4, pages 722-731, August 1983.
- [2] Jeff Borggaard, **The Sensitivity Equation Method for Optimal Design**, PhD Thesis, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Department of Mathematics, 1994.
- [3] Jeff Borggaard, John Burns, Gene Cliff and Max Gunzburger, *Sensitivity Calculations for a 2D, Inviscid Supersonic Forebody Problem*, in **Identification and Control of Systems Governed by Partial Differential Equations**, H T Banks, R Fabiano, K Ito, editors, SIAM Publications, pages 14-24, 1993.
- [4] John Burkardt and Janet Peterson, *Control of Steady Incompressible 2D Channel Flow*, **Flow Control**, The IMA Volumes in Mathematics and Its Applications, Volume 68, Springer Verlag, New York, 1995.
- [5] John Burkardt and Janet Peterson, *The Role of the Cost Functional in a Shape Optimization*, **Proceedings of the Fourth Bozeman Conference on Computation and Control**, Birkhaeuser, to appear 1995.

- [6] John Burns, Eugene Cliff, Max Gunzburger, *Equations for the Sensitivities for the 2D, Inviscid, Supersonic Forebody Problem*, ICAM Report 91-07-05, Interdisciplinary Center for Applied Mathematics, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg, VA, July 1991.
- [7] Hayri Cabuk and Vijay Modi, *Optimum Plane Diffusers in Laminar Flow*, **Journal of Fluid Mechanics**, Volume 237, pages 373-393, April 1992.
- [8] R G Carter, *On the Global Convergence of Trust Region Algorithms Using Inexact Gradient Information*, **SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis**, Volume 28, Number 1, pages 251-265, February 1991.
- [9] Carl DeBoor, **A Practical Guide to Splines**, Springer Verlag, New York, 1978.
- [10] J E Dennis and Robert Schnabel, **Numerical Methods for Unconstrained Optimization and Nonlinear Equations**, Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, 1983.
- [11] Jack Dongarra and Eric Grosse, *Distribution of Mathematical Software Via Electronic Mail*, **Communications of the ACM**, Volume 30, pages 403-407, 1987.
- [12] David Gay, *Algorithm 611, Subroutines for Unconstrained Minimization Using a Model/Trust Region Approach*, **ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software**, Volume 9, Number 4, pages 503-524, December 1983.
- [13] Vivette Girault and Pierre-Arnaud Raviart, **Finite Element Methods for Navier-Stokes Equations**, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1986.
- [14] Ph Guillaume and M Masmoudi, *Computation of High Order Derivatives in Optimal Shape Design*, **Numerische Mathematik**, Volume 67, pages 231-250, 1994.
- [15] Max Gunzburger, **Finite Element Methods for Viscous Incompressible Flows**, Academic Press, San Diego, 1989.

- [16] Max Gunzburger and Janet Peterson, *On Conforming Finite Element Methods for the Inhomogeneous Stationary Navier-Stokes Equations*, **Numerische Mathematik**, Volume 42, pages 173-194, 1983.
- [17] J Haslinger and P Neittaanmäki, **Finite Element Approximation for Optimal Shape Design**, John Wiley and Sons, Chichester, 1988.
- [18] D Huddleston, *Development of a Free-Jet Forebody Simulator Design Optimization Method*, AEDC-TR-90-22, Arnold Engineering Development Center, Arnold AFB, TN, December 1990.
- [19] Ohannes Karakashian, *On a Galerkin-Lagrange Multiplier Method for the Stationary Navier-Stokes Equations*, **SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis**, Volume 19, Number 5, pages 909-923, October 1982.
- [20] Hongchul Kim, **Analysis and Finite Element Approximation of an Optimal Shape Control Problem for the Steady-State Navier-Stokes Equations**, PhD Thesis, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Department of Mathematics, 1993.
- [21] O A Ladyzhenskaya, **The Mathematical Theory of Viscous Incompressible Flow**, Second Edition, Gordon and Breach, New York, 1969.
- [22] L Landau and E Lifshitz, **Fluid Mechanics**, Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1987.
- [23] Werner Rheinboldt and John Burkardt, *A Locally Parameterized Continuation Process*, **ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software**, Volume 9, Number 2, pages 215-235, July 1983.
- [24] Werner Rheinboldt and James Ortega, **Iterative Solution of Nonlinear Equations in Several Variables**, Academic Press, New York, 1970.

- [25] Roger Temam, **Navier-Stokes Equations**, Revised Edition, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1979.

# VITA

The author's improbable career may bring hope to those still struggling to find their proper place in life.

He went to MIT with a vague interest in Mathematics, only to be blighted by a course in number theory. An attempt at a physics major came to an end in the lab, when he managed to make a vacuum tube with two anodes. He started a computer course, but couldn't get the hang of it. Almost every term, though, he took courses in German and in writing. It was only by the purest luck that he was able to graduate, as the first recipient of a degree in the newly blessed field of "Science and Humanities".

Graduated, jobless, planless, he decided to take some summer classes at the University of Pittsburgh. He enjoyed his course in sophomore calculus so much that, at the end, he practically begged his instructor, "Is there some way I could do more of this?" The instructor arranged for him to meet Professor Jim Fink, who got him provisional admission, conditional on surviving a term of catchup work. That first term involved back-to-back classes from 9 AM to 3 PM, with no lunch break, but was the first time he had enjoyed school in years. He was so excited he wrote to two old MIT classmates who were currently returning cars for Avis, and they both also enrolled in graduate school, also in Mathematics.

After two years of abstract mathematics, including topology, set theory and logic, he felt unprepared to take the preliminary exams and simply didn't show up, forfeiting all further

departmental support. It was only by a miracle that he found himself saved, working on a one year grant with Professor Andras Szeri of the Mechanical Engineering Department, who required him to learn FORTRAN, the use of a timesharing computer, and numerical analysis.

The course in numerical analysis, taught by Professors Charles Hall and Tom Porsching, is fixed in his mind for all time. He had enjoyed the preliminary material, but expected things were about to go downhill when ordinary differential equations arrived. But then the professor sketched the field of derivatives and said “Suppose we’re at point  $X$ , where the solution is equal to  $Y$ , and we want to estimate the value of the solution at point  $X + \Delta X$ . What’s a simple estimate we can make, using this picture?” This was the moment when he fell in love with numerical analysis.

Gradually, he drifted from graduate work into full time computer support for Hall and Porsching’s research group in the Mathematics department. He worked on a variety of fluid codes for the Air Force and EPRI, and a sheet metal forming program for GM. He was lucky enough to work with Professor Werner Rheinboldt in developing a version of his continuation program suitable for submission as an ACM algorithm. He also spent a great deal of time writing educational software for Professor Charles Cullen, from whom he learned a lot about proper computer educational techniques.

He then took a job at the newly opened Pittsburgh Supercomputing Center, as a “mathematical software librarian”, which initially meant supporting the IMSL and NAG libraries. Gradually this job came to include installing, testing, and documenting software, porting software to a variety of Cray computers, debugging and optimizing user programs, team-teaching workshops for users, both on site and at a number of universities, and working on an outreach program that brought the joys and headaches of computing to students and teachers in local high schools.

However, after five years, he was ready for something new. Professor Janet Peterson, who had known him at the University of Pittsburgh and was now at Virginia Tech, had urged him several times to return to graduate school and finish his degree. Now she suggested he come down to Virginia Tech and do so. Professor Max Gunzburger also applied some gentle charm, offering to supervise his work, and introducing him to Professors John Burns, Gene Cliff, and Terry Herdman, the likely members of his defense committee.

It seemed like the last chance of a lifetime, and so he quit his job, sold his house, rode down to Blacksburg with six boxes of belongings, and hasn't looked back.